Full Length Research Paper

# The influence of a nutritional supplement for undernourished elderly people

# Valéria Maria Caselato-Sousa<sup>1</sup>\*, Maria Elena Guariento<sup>2</sup>, Nelci Fenalti Höehr<sup>2</sup>, Valdemiro Carlos Sgarbieri<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>School Food Engineering, University of Campinas, Monteiro Lobato Street 80, 13083-970 Campinas, Brazil. <sup>2</sup>School of Medical Sciences, University of Campinas, Tessália Vieira de Camargo Street 126, 13083-887 Campinas, Brazil.

Accepted 25 July, 2011

The objective of this pilot study was to evaluate the effect of a food supplement on the nutritional status of malnourished or at risk of malnutrition elderly ( $84 \pm 8.16$  years), body mass index (BMI < 22 kg/m<sup>2</sup>). The Mini Nutritional Assessment (MNA) was applied for subjects' selection. Dietetic, anthropometric and biochemical parameters were estimated for 90 days. Weight, height, triceps skinfold thickness, arm circumference, calf circumference, thigh circumference, corrected arm muscle area, waist circumference, hip circumference, BMI were measured. Body composition and fat-free mass were evaluated by the bioelectric impedance. Folic acid, calcium and magnesium were improved. Anthropometric measurements were not influenced. Weight (average 1.88 kg), serum total proteins and albumin, total cholesterol, folic acid and magnesium increased. Overall, the data suggest that the intervention had a positive effect on the nutritional status of the elderly.

Keywords: Aging, dietary supplementation, nutrition, weight loss, whey protein.

# INTRODUCTION

Aging is a natural biological process rather than a pathologic one, characterized by a series of morphophysiological, biochemical and psychological changes that take place throughout the lives of human beings (Wilmoth, 1998; Jeckel-Neto, 2000). The malnutrition in aged people is common, since with advanced age the

\*Corresponding author: E-mail: valcaselato@gmail.com; Tel: +55 (12) 3941-6612

#### ABBREVIATIONS

MNA, mini nutritional assessment; BMI, body mass index; WPI, whey protein isolate; BCH, bovine collagen hydrolysate; UL, upper level of intake; DRI, dietary reference intake; WC, waist circumference; HC, hip circumference; AC, arm circumference; TC, thigh circumference; TSF, triceps skinfold thickness; W, weight; CC, calf circumference; CAMA, corrected arm muscle area; FFM, fat-free mass; LDL-c, low-density lipoprotein cholesterol; HDL-c, high-density lipoprotein cholesterol; SEM, standard error of the mean; RDA, recommended dietary allowances; AI, adequate intakes daily food intake tends to reduce. The signs and symptoms of the aging process are easily mistaken for malnutrition; therefore, interventions are many times inadequate and less efficient than they could be (Guigoz et al., 1996; Wahlqvist et al., 1995). Suggested data on prevalence of malnutrition in communities is 1% to 15%; in hospitalized elderly the prevalence is 35% to 65% and, for those who live in a home for elderly, the prevalence is 25% to 60% (Omran, 2000). The oral supplementation is an efficient and viable strategy, being used to provide an appropriate nutritional intervention, mainly when the elderly group presents malnutrition problems (Miguel Mari and Peréz del Rio, 1981). The purpose of the present pilot study was designed to assess the effects of a dietetic product on anthropometric, biochemical and dietetic parameters in elderly population.

# MATERIALS AND METHODS

# Subjects and dietetic product

The selection criterion was elderly people of both sexes



Figure 1: Flow chart of participants of an intervention

whose body mass index (BMI) was less than 22 kg/m<sup>2</sup> and who had mental status preserved. Subjects were selected by the MNA modified by Rubenstein et al. (2001) which identified elderly population with or at risk of malnutrition. MNA is a practical procedure, which takes into consideration nutritional status, health condition, frailty and possible illnesses of the elderly. In the MNA scale, 17 points indicates malnutrition; between 17.5 to 23.5 risk of malnutrition, above 24 eutrophic. In the present study, MNA was initialyy applied to 90 elderly individuals belonging to the age groups ( $84 \pm 8.16$  years). Subjects with diagnosed cancer, associated with renal illness, liver diseases, malabsorption, congestive heart failure, type 1 and 2 diabetes were not selected. After a written informed consent was obtained, diet supplement was offered to the participants. The study protocol was evaluated and approved by the Ethical Committee of the School of Medical Sciences, University of Campinas, SP, Brazil. The study, which lasted 3 months, was a prospective clinical trial with 14 elderly individuals between 68 and 95 years of age, who received the dietetic product orally as shown in Figure 1.

Supplement was provided in two flavors, milk and chocolate, in 60-g sachets suspended in 200 mL of skim milk. During 3 months, the drink was offered twice a day (morning and night) in addition to the normal food intake. The product was composed of whey protein isolate (WPI), bovine collagen hydrolysate (BCH), carbohydrates, inuline, fructooligosaccharides, vitamins and minerals, in amounts suggested to this age group by

the DRI (*Dietary Reference Intakes*), considering the upper intake levels (UL) of each nutrient (Ziegler et al.,2008). Data were collected at baseline and after 3 months of intervention (Time 0 and Time 1).

#### **Dietary Intake**

A 3-day food intake (2 week days and 1 weekend day) estimated dietary record was collected according to the method described by Thompson and Byers (1994). Subjects filled out a diary which was checked by a nutritionist. Ingested carbohydrates, proteins, lipids, vitamin B<sub>12</sub>, folic acid, calcium, magnesium, zinc and energy were calculated by software *Diet Pro 4.0* for *Windows* for data analysis. Estimated dietary intake was compared to standards established by DRI (*Dietary Reference Intakes*) (IOM, 1997; IOM, 1998; IOM, 2000; IOM, 2001; IOM, 2002).

#### Anthropometry

Anthropometric measurements were done with subjects barefoot, wearing light clothes and after they had defecated. Body weight was calculated to the nearest 0.1 kg in a calibrated sliding weighing scale (Welmy Inc., São Paulo, Brazil). Height was calculated in a stadiometer using Frisancho's technique (Frisancho, 1984). Knee height (measured in duplicate),

| Nutrient quantify            | g/dose (200 mL) | % DRI/dose |
|------------------------------|-----------------|------------|
| Protein (WPI + BCH) (g)      | 7.5             | 14         |
| Carbohydrates (g)            | 40.7            | ~ 30       |
| Inuline (g)                  | 4               | -          |
| Fructooligosaccharides (g)   | 2               | -          |
| Vitamin B1 (mg)              | 0.2             | 17         |
| Vitamin B <sub>6</sub> (mg)  | 0.7             | 43         |
| Vitamin B <sub>12</sub> (µg) | 1.2             | 50         |
| Vitamin C (mg)               | 60              | 73         |
| Vitamin A (µg)               | 450.0           | 53         |
| Vitamin E (mg)               | 15.0            | 100        |
| Folic Acid (µg)              | 133.3           | 33         |
| Calcium (mg)                 | 400.0           | 33         |
| Magnesium (mg)               | 140.0           | 38         |
| Zinc (mg)                    | 5.5             | 58         |
| Selenium (µg)                | 18.3            | 33         |

Table 1: Nutritional composition of the product (g/dose of 200 mL and % DRI<sup>1</sup>)

1 Source: DRI (1997); DRI (1998); DRI (2000); DRI (2002).

circumferences of waist (WC), hip (HC), arm (AC), thigh (TC) were measured with an inelastic measuring tape. Hip circumference was measured to the nearest 0.1 cm as the maximum circumference over the buttocks usually at the level of the greater trochanters but not lower than the pubic symphysis. For the measurement of waist and hip circumferences, the subject wore light clothes and stood with their feet about 12 to 15 cm apart with the weight equally distributed between them. Waist circumference was measured at the level of the umbilicus to the nearest 0.1 cm at the end of normal expiration. Arm circumference was measured on the left side with the subject standing and the arm hanging relaxed just away from the trunk. The circumference was measured at the same level as the triceps skinfold to the nearest 0.1 cm without indenting the skin. Triceps skinfold thickness (TSF) measured with a LANGE caliper at constant pressure  $(10g/mm^2)$ . BMI measured as weight (kg) divided by squared estimated height (m). The cut-off points proposed by the Nutrition Screening Initiative (NSI, 1992) were used to diagnose the nutritional status. Mean values of triplicate measurements were used for analysis. HC and WC were measured as proposed by Callaway et al. (1991). For body composition bioletric impedance (BIA 450, Biodynamics Corporation, Seattle, Washington, USA) was used Fat-free mass (FFM) was calculated by the equations of Baumgartner et al. (1991):

*Men:* FFM (kg) = 0.28 ( $H^2/R$ ) + 0.27 (W) + 0.31 (TC) + 2.768

*Women:* FFM (kg) = 0.28 ( $H^2/R$ ) + 0.27 (W) + 0.31 (TC) - 1.732

#### Biochemistry

Levels of fasting glycemia and renal function (urea and

creatinine) were used first as criterion of exclusion. Serum proteins (total and albumin), lipid profile (total cholesterol, low-density lipoprotein cholesterol, highdensity lipoprotein cholesterol, triglycerides), vitamin B12, folic acid, calcium, magnesium and zinc were determined at T0 (starting point) and T1 (90 days). Blood samples were collected from fasting subjects and samples were immediately put in ice before further processing. Blood was collected in 8-mL tubes and then separated by centrifugation and the plasma (or serum) was used for biochemical analysis.

#### Statistical analysis

The data were analyzed with the aid of the software BioEstat 3 (Ayres et al., 2003). Descriptive statistics of the variables are presented as means  $\pm$  the standard error of the mean (SEM). To explain variability as a function of time repeated measures analysis of variance was used (Milliken, 2004; Montgomery, 2004). Tukey's honestly significant difference test for post hoc comparisons of means (P < 0.05) was considered significant in all analysis.

# RESULTS

By applying MNA, the subjects of the study were classified under three nutritional statuses: eutrophic, risk of malnutrition, and malnutrition. Average distribution among statuses were: malnourished 19.2%, under risk of malnutrition 44.5%, and eutrofic 36.3%.

At the beginning of the intervention, food intake was adequate for macronutrients (carbohydrates, proteins, lipids). Regarding micronutrients (vitamins and minerals),

| Energy/Nutrients                   | ТО             | T1              | <i>P</i> -value | DRI**     |
|------------------------------------|----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------|
| Energy (kcal/day) <sup>1</sup>     | 1592.4 ± 555.3 | 1792.89 ± 281.6 | 0.5478          | 2054 Kcal |
| Carbohydrate(g/day) <sup>1</sup>   | 228.7 ± 65.7   | 213.39 ± 46.7   | - 0.7167        | 130 g     |
| Protein (g/ day) <sup>1</sup>      | 65.2 ± 27.3    | 75.74 ± 6.9     | 0.5116          | 56 g      |
| Fat (g/ day)                       | 47.9 ± 25.9    | 39.47 ± 9.9     | - 0.5709        | _         |
| Vitamin B12 (µg/ day) <sup>1</sup> | 2.51 ± 1.9     | 2.48 ± 1.1      | - 0.9809        | 2.4 µg    |
| Folic Acid (µg/ day) <sup>1</sup>  | 5.1 ± 7.4      | 269.40 ± 0      | 0.000012*       | 400 µg    |
| Calcium (mg/ day) <sup>2</sup>     | 490.2 ± 100.3  | 1348.66 ± 292   | 0.002*          | 1200 mg   |
| Magnesium (mg/ day) <sup>1</sup>   | 6.7 ± 2.1      | 282.3 ± 1.9     | 0.00005*        | 420 mg    |
| Zinc (mg/ day) <sup>1</sup>        | $7.5 \pm 5.6$  | 2.9 ± 1.9       | - 0.1774        | 11 mg     |

**Table 2:** Dietetic parameters for men (04 participants), between Time 0 (T0) and Time 1 (T1) of the supplementation (means  $\pm$  SEM). Age (y) 81.5  $\pm$  7.23

\* Statistical significance (P < 0.05).

\*\* DRI: *Dietary Reference Intakes (> 51y);* <sup>1</sup>RDA: Recommended Dietary Allowances; <sup>2</sup>AI: Adequate Intakes.

**Table 3:** Dietetic parameters for women (10 participants), between Time 0 (T0) and Time 1 (T1) of the supplementation (means  $\pm$  SEM). Age (y) 85.0  $\pm$  8.65

| Energy/Nutrients                  | ТО            | T1             | <i>P</i> -value | DRI**     |
|-----------------------------------|---------------|----------------|-----------------|-----------|
| Energy (kcal/day) <sup>1</sup>    | 2074.9 ± 437  | 1828.9 ± 304.5 | 0.1584          | 1873 Kcal |
| Carbohydrate(g/day) <sup>1</sup>  | 320.8 ± 77.4  | 204.1 ± 37.8   | - 0.0007*       | 130 g     |
| Protein (g/day) <sup>1</sup>      | 75.3 ± 19.4   | 78.8 ± 11.3    | 0.6303          | 46 g      |
| Fat (g/day)                       | 56.5 ± 12.9   | 39.1 ± 12.8    | - 0.0074        | _         |
| Vitamin B12 (µg⊡day) <sup>1</sup> | 2.8 ± 1.4     | 2.7 ± 0.2      | - 0.6926        | 2,4 µg    |
| Folic Acid (µg⊡day) <sup>1</sup>  | 1.4 ± 1.1     | 282.9 ± 21.7   | 0.000012*       | 400 µg    |
| Calcium (mg/day) <sup>2</sup>     | 735.1 ± 259.7 | 1379.8 ± 167.5 | 0.00003*        | 1200 mg   |
| Magnesium (mg/day) <sup>1</sup>   | 4.5 ± 4.4     | 305.2 ± 26.7   | 0.000001*       | 320 mg    |
| Zinc (mg/day) <sup>1</sup>        | 9.0 ± 4.1     | $2.4 \pm 0.8$  | - 0.0002*       | 8 mg      |

\* Statistical significance (P < 0.05).

\*\* DRI: *Dietary Reference Intakes (> 51 y);* <sup>1</sup> RDA: Recommended Dietary Allowances; <sup>2</sup> AI: Adequate Intakes.

except for vitamin B12, a poor ingestion was found. An energy deficit was detected in men but in women the energy intake was adequate. At the end of the clinical trial (90 days), food intake was adequate for macronutrients according to the DRI *(Dietary Reference Intakes)*, but relatively low intake was observed for folic acid, zinc and magnesium, vitamin B12 and calcium. An energy deficit was detected in woman elderly subjects, but not in men. The dietetic supplement was well tolerated by all participants and no side effects were observed.

At the beginning of the study, it was confirmed that all the anthropometric parameters were in deficit, and some were an indication of malnutrition such as the BMI, the calf circumference (CC) and corrected arm muscle area (CAMA). After 90 days of the dietetic product supplementation there was some increase, although not significant, of some anthropometric indexes such as weight, BMI, CC, FFM, in both sexes, but there was a reduction of the CAMA in men and WC/HC in women.

The average weight gain in the elderly subjects was 1.88 kg. Despite not being statistically significant, the

weight gain of this population group in such a short period seems important.

At time T0, the biochemical indexes of the elderly subjects were within normality, except for total proteins, which were below normality, characterizing malnutrition. After 90 days, a significant increase of the average values was observed in the total proteins and albumin, respectively, reaching normality. Some biochemical measurements, such as total cholesterol, total proteins, albumin, folic acid and magnesium, showed significant changes (P < 0.05). Correlations between the biochemical levels and the body mass index (BMI) and between the biochemical levels and the fat-free mass (FFM) were not found.

#### DISCUSSION

In this study the MNA was used as a tool for selecting from an elderly group subjects with malnutrition or at risk of malnutrition (Beck et al., 1999; Van Nes et al., 2001).

**Table 4:** Anthropometric indexes of the elderly group, means±SEM, at the beginning and end of study (n = 14,  $3^{\circ}4$ : $2^{\circ}10$ , age (y) 84.0 ± 8.16).

| Characteristics         | n Total (14)    |                  | n Total (14)    |                  |
|-------------------------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|------------------|
|                         | <b>♂ TO</b> ♀   |                  | <b>∂ T1</b> ♀   |                  |
| W (Kg)                  | 52.42 ± 2.96    | 42.00 ± 8.23     | 55.45 ± 2.82    | 43.43 ± 8.00     |
| BMI (Kg/m²)             | 19.15 ± 1.21    | 18.97 ± 2.42     | 20.27 ± 2.29    | 19.92 ± 2.55     |
| CC (cm)                 | 29.87 ± 1.31    | $28.85 \pm 3.48$ | 30.25 ± 2.98    | 28.85 ± 3.77     |
| CAMA (cm <sup>2</sup> ) | 21.29 ± 8.06    | 19.24 ± 5.90     | 18.62 ± 8.24    | 19.88 ± 5.78     |
| FFM (kg)                | 42.31 ± 2.57    | 30.54 ± 5.12     | 42.63 ± 2.60    | $30.89 \pm 5.37$ |
| WC/HC                   | $0.83 \pm 0.01$ | $0.84 \pm 0.04$  | $0.85 \pm 0.02$ | $0.76 \pm 0.28$  |

Weight (W), Body Mass Index (BMI), calf circumference (CC), corrected arm muscle area (CAMA), fat-free mass (FFM), waist circumference/hip circumference (WC/HC).

**Table 5.** Biochemical parameters in population elderly, means  $\pm$  SEM, at the beginning and end of study (n = 14,  $3^{\circ}$  4: $2^{\circ}$ 10, age (y) 84.0  $\pm$  8.16 ).

| Levels                 | Т 0             | T 1             | P-value |
|------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|---------|
| Glycemia (mg/dL)       | 85.78 ± 6.31    | 87.07 ± 6.31    | 0.5900  |
| Creatinine (mg/dL)     | 0.77 ± 0.27     | 0.89 ± 0.30     | 0.2927  |
| Totals Proteins (g/dL) | 5.11 ± 1.39     | 7.17 ± 0.44     | 0.0001* |
| Albumin (g/dL)         | 2.77 ± 0.74     | 4.01 ± 0.31     | 0.0001* |
| Cholesterol (mg/dL)    | 117.14 ± 36.51  | 165.28 ± 31.1   | 0.0012* |
| LDL-c (mg/dL)          | 68.98 ± 25.23   | 87.84 ± 30.42   | 0.1653  |
| HDL-c (mg/dL)          | 46.86 ± 17.43   | 54.07 ± 14.57   | 0.5431  |
| LDL-c/HDL-c            | 1.66 ± 0.82     | 1.74 ± 0.73     | 0.7961  |
| Triglycerides (mg/dL)  | 97.5 ± 31.88    | 117.43 ± 42.23  | 0.1676  |
| Vitamin B12 µg/mL      | 464.00 ± 227.07 | 558.00 ± 288.63 | 0.6509  |
| Folic acid µg/mL       | $9.98 \pm 4.49$ | 15.09 ± 4.11    | 0.0044* |
| Calcium mg/dL          | 8.89 ± 0.61     | 8.95 ± 0.53     | 0.7896  |
| Magnesium mg/dL        | 1.75 ± 0.24     | 1.98 ± 0.35     | 0.0049* |
| Zinc µg/mL             | 0.81 ± 0.12     | 0.89 ± 0.10     | 0.0874  |

\* Statistical significance (P < 0.05)

Overall, 19.3% of the total elderly evaluated were malnourished, 44.5% under risk of malnutrition, and 36.2% eutrophic. Previous studies have shown risk of malnutrition at elderly homes between 0% and 6% (De Groot et al., 1998). A study reported, in hospitalized or sheltered elderly, malnutrition was registered between 30% and 60% (Vellas et al., 2001). A Finnish study (Soini et al., 2004) with 178 sheltered elderly revealed 3% malnourished, 48% at risk of malnutrition, and 49% eutrophic subjects.

It was observed (Delmi et al., 1990) that the administration of a supplement affected food intake, and, thus, it was suggested that supplementation should be taken between meals.

In the present study the product was well tolerated by all the participants and was offered at breakfast and at night before bed. The average of the elderly body weight gain of 1.88 Kg in the short period of 3 months was in accordance with average values from 1.4 to 1.6 Kg, in experiments ranging from 2 to 6 months (Wouters-Wesseling et al., 2003; Lauque et al., 2000; Payette et al., 2000).

No significant changes were measured in any of the

anthropometric parameters evaluated. These results are in agreement with studies of other authors (Soini et al., 2004; Manders et al., 2006; Wielen et al., 1995), who also did not find significant influence of elderly diet supplementation for a short period on anthropometric parameters.

Results of research about change in biochemical parameters of elderly after dietary supplementation are contradictory. Some studies have shown broad nutrient changes in the blood, mainly for the values of prealbumin and albumin.

In this research significant changes were measured in blood mineral, vitamins, total blood proteins and albumin, in agreement with the following investigators (Vellas et al., 2001; Lipschitz et al., 1985).

#### CONCLUSION

The elderly diet supplementation did not influence the anthropometric parameters, except for promoting an average body weight gain of 1.88 Kg, which was important considering the frail condition of the subjects and the short supplementation period. Deficits of total blood proteins and albumin as well as in vitamins and some essential minerals were compensated, representing an overall improvement of the nutritional status of the elderly, under study.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors are grateful to CNPq - National Council for Research and Development for the grant to author Valéria Maria Caselato de Sousa.

#### REFERENCES

- Ayres M, Ayres Jr M, Ayres DM, Santos AS (2003). BioEstat 3.0: aplicações estatísticas nas áreas das ciências biológicas e médicas, Belém: Sociedade Civil Mamirauá. pp. 203.
- Baumgartner RN, Heymsfield SB, Lichtman S, Wang J, Pierson RN (1991). Body composition in elderly people: Effect of criterion estimates on predictive equations. Am. J. Clin. Nutr. 53(6):1-9.
- Beck AM, Ovesen L, Osler M (1999). The Mini Nutritional Assessment (MNA) and the determine your nutritional health checklist (NSI Checklist) as predictors of morbibity in an elderly Danish population. Brit. J. Nutr. 81(1):31-36.
- Callaway CW, Chumlea WC, Bouchard C, Himes JH, Lohman TG, Martin AD, Mitchell CD, Muller WH, Roche AF, Sefeldt VD (1991). Circumferences. In: Lohman, T.G., Roche, A.F., Martorell, R., ed. Anthropometric standardization reference manual, Champaign: Human Kinetics Books. pp. 44-45.
- De Groot LCPGM, Beck AM, Schroll M, Van Staveren W (1998). Evaluating the DETERMINE Your Nutritional Health Checklist and the Mini Nutritional Assessment as tools to identify nutritional problems in elderly Europeans. Eur. J. Clin. Nutr. 1998; 52(12):877-883.
- Delmi M, Rapin CH, Delmas PD, Vasey H, Bonjour J (1990). Dietary supplementation in elderly patients with fractured neck of the femur. Lancet. 28(8696):1013-1016.
- Frisancho AR (1984). New standards of weight and body composition by frame size and height for assessment of nutritional status of adults and the the elderly. Am. J. Clin. Nutr. 40(4):808-819.
- Guigoz Y, Vellas B, Garry PJ (1996). Assessing the nutritional status of the elderly: the Mini Nutritional Assessment as part of the geriatric evaluation. Nutr. Res. 54(1):59-65.
- Institute of Medicine (IOM) (1997). Dietary Reference Intakes for Calcium, Phosphorus, Magnesium, Vitamin D and Fluoride. National Academy Press, Washington, DC. 456 p.
- Institute of Medicine (IOM) (1998). Dietary Reference Intakes for Thiamin, Riboflavin, Niacin, Vitamin B6, Folate, Vitamin B12, Pantothenic Acid, Biotin, and Choline. National Academy Press, Washington, DC. 591 p.
- Institute of Medicine (IOM) (2000). Dietary Reference Intakes for Vitamin C, Vitamin E, Selenium, and Carotenoids. National Academy Press, Washington, DC. 529 p.
- Institute of Medicine (IOM) (2001). Dietary Reference Intakes for Vitamin A, Vitamin K, Arsenic, Boron, Chromium, Copper, Iodine, Iron, Manganese, Molybdenum, Nickel, Silicon, Vanadium, and Zinc. National Academy Press, Washington, DC. 772 p.
- Institute of Medicine (IOM) (2002). Dietary Reference Intakes for Energy, Carbohydrate, Fiber, Fat, Fatty Acids, Cholesterol, Protein, and Amino Acids. National Academy Press, Washington, DC. 1330 p.
- Jeckel-Neto EA (2000). Gerontologia: desafio para o século XXI. In: Jeckel-Neto E, Cruz IBM (Org.). Aspectos biológicos e geriátricos do envelhecimento II. Porto Alegre: EDIPUCRS; pp.15-21.
- Lauque S, Arnaud-Battandier F, Mansourian R, Guigoz Y, Paintin M, Nourhashemi F, Vellas B (2000). Protein-energy oral supplementation in malnourished nursing-home residents: A controlled trial. Age Ageing. 29(1):51-56.

- Lipschitz DA, Mitchell CO, Steele RW, Milton K (1985). Nutritional evaluation and supplementation of elderly subjects participating in a "meals on wheels" program. JPEN J. Parenter Enteral. Nutr. 9(3):343-347.
- Manders M, Vasse E, De Groot CPGM, Van Staveren WA, Bindels JG, Blom HJ, Hoenagels WHL (2006). Homocysteine and congnitive function in institutionalised elderly: a cross-sectional analysis. Eur. J. Nutr. 45(2):70-78.
- Miguel Mari JA, Peréz del Rio MA (1981). Resultados obtenidos em personas de edad avanzada desnutridas, com la adición a su dieta habitual de um preparado protéico-vitaminico-mineral. Geriatrics. 26(:50-51.
- Milliken GA (2004). Analysis of Messy Data. 2 ed. New York: Chapman & Hall/CRC. pp.480.
- Montgomery DC (2004). Design and Analysis of Experiments. 6 ed. New York: John Wiley and Sons. pp. 649.
- Nutrition Screening Initiative (NSI) (1992). Interventions manual for professionals caring older Americans. Washington, DC: Nutrition Screening Initiative. pp 130
- Omran ML (2000). Assessment of protein energy malnutrition in older persons. Nutrition. 16(1):50-63.
- Payette H, Boutier V, Coulombe C, Gray-Donald K (2000). Benefits of nutritional supplementation in free-living, frail, undernourished elderly people: a prospective randomized community trial. J. Am. Diet Assoc. 102(8):1088-1095.
- Rubenstein LZ, Harker JO, Salva A, Guigoz Y, Vellas B (2001). Screening for undernutrition in geriatric practice: developing the Short-form Mini Nutritional Assessment (MNA-SF). J. Gerontol. A Biol. Sci. Med. Sci. 56(6):366-372.
- Soini H, Routasalo P, Langström H (2004). Characteristics of the Mini-Nutritional assessment in elderly home-care patients. Eur. J. Clin. Nutr. 58(1):64-70.
- Thompson, FE, Byers T (1994). Dietary assessment resource manual. J. Nutr. 124 (11 Supplem):2245-2317.
- Van Nes MC, Herrmann FR, Gold G, Michel JP, Rizzoli R (2001). Does the Mini Nutritional Assessment predict hospitalizacion outcomes in older people? Age and Ageing. 30(3):221-226.
- Vellas B, Lauque S, Andrieu S, Nourhashemi F, Rolland Y, Baumgartner R, Garry PJ (2001). Nutrition assessment in the elderly. Curr. Opin. Clin. Nutr. Metab. Care 2001; 4(1):5-8.
- Wahlqvist ML, Savige SG, Lukito W (1995). Nutritional disorders in the elderly. Med J Aust. 163(7):376-381.
- Wielen RPJV, Hereveld HAEM, De Groot CPGM, Staveren WA (1995). Nutritional status of elderly female nursing home residents; the effect of supplementation with a physiological dose of water-soluble vitamins. Eur. J. Clin. Nutr. 49(9):665-674.
- Wilmoth JR (1998). The future of human longevity: a demographer's perspective. Science. 280(5362):395-397.
- Wouters-Wesseling W, Van Hooijdonk C, Wagenaar L, Bindels J, De Groot L, Van Staveren W (2003). The effect of liquid nutrition supplement on body composition and physical functioning in elderly people. Clin. Nutr. 22(4):371-377.
- Ziegler FLF, Faria JA, Sgarbieri VC (2008). Formulation and shelf life of a nutritional supplement for undernourished elderly people. Food Sci. Biotechnol. 17(2):241-246.