

Full Length Research Paper

Potential of Sunflower (*Helianthus annus* L.) Double Cross Hybrid as a commercial production-grain cultivar

Salman Ahmad¹, Muhammad Noor^{*2}, Ikramullah³, Ihteramullah⁵, Farhan Ali⁴, Waheed Murad⁵,
Durrishahwar³ and Khilwat Afridi⁴

¹Plant Breeding and Genetics Division, Nuclear Institute of Agriculture, TandoJam, Sindh

²Department of Plant Breeding and Genetics, KPK Agricultural, University Peshawar, Pakistan

³Faculty of Agriculture, Abdul Wali Khan University, Mardan, Pakistan

⁴Cereal Crops Research Institute, Pirsabak, Nowshera, Pakistan

⁵Department of Plant Science, Kohat University of Science and Technology, Kohat Pakistan

Accepted 06 March, 2012

An experiment to develop higher-yield sunflower hybrids, comprising seven single and seven double cross selected plants planted at the Agriculture Research Institute, Tarnab, Peshawar, Pakistan, was performed during August 2001. Hybrid selection data included 1000 grain weight, number of grains per head, number of filled grains per head, number of filled versus unfilled grains per head, harvest index and grain yield. Recorded data revealed positive correlations between all the analyzed grain yield characters. Two hybrid entries (*TF-7 x TF-11*) and (*TF-7 x TF-22*)x(*TF-17 x TF-18*) showed superior grain yield and yield related characters recorded in single and double cross hybrids. This study demonstrated that diversity of grain yield characters in this study can be use as the effective selection of promising and superior double cross sunflower hybrids.

Keywords: Double cross, sunflower, correlation and grain yield.

INTRODUCTION

Sunflower (*Helianthus annus* L.) is an important member of Compositae family. It is found in wild form world-wide, but the cultivated forms are very rich source of edible oil. According to Doeslale, 1972 and Dinell, 1983, sunflower seeds contain about 40-50 % oil with high polyunsaturated fatty acids and 20 % protein. Sunflower is well adapted to water stress condition and can be raised twice a year. In recent years, sunflower was introduced into the cropping pattern of Pakistan. In addition, demand of edible oil has been increasing, which at present time, the market demand is higher compared with the Pakistan's production. This increasing demand for edible oil is related to the rise in this country population. Sunflower is playing an important role to bridge the gap between local production and demand of edible oil.

Sunflower single cross hybrid seed is important for

domestic cultivation on farmer fields. This hybrid is far more expensive than the local wild varieties and is to be produced every year because in the next generation, the yield reaches 50 % reduction. For this, each year hybrid sunflower seed production is imported, which really increase the cost of production. Moreover, most of the farmer in Pakistan cannot afford to purchase hybrid seed each year because of their low income. Since the importance of hybrid sunflower seed production, the present study was carried out at Agriculture Research Institute (ARI) Tarnab to determine the possibility of double cross hybrid cultivars as a source of low cost seed production compared with single cross hybrid seed production, analyzing the potential of high grain yield in all the quantitative traits.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

This study was conducted at the Agriculture Research Institute (ARI), Tarnab, Peshawar, Pakistan, during 2001-2002. Single- and double-cross hybrids were evaluated based on their grain yield potential. The experiment was shown on August 2001. The experimental material i.e

*Corresponding Author E-mail: noor_pbg49@yahoo.com;
Tel: 0092-3025258528

single-crossed genotypes (TF-11xTF-4, TF-17x TF-4, TF-6x TF-8, TF-18xGP-9, TF-11x TF-14, TF-4x TF-1 and TF-7x TF-11) and double-crossed genotypes (TF-17xGP-122) x (TF-1097xGP-22), (TF-7x TF-22) x (TF-7x TF-22), (TF-22x 6201) x (GP-153x TF-1034), (TF-22xGP-9)x(DM-7x TF-7), (TF-3xGP-153) x (TF-17 x TF-37) and (TF-5003xGP-14)x(TF-8x BOL-1774) were provided by ARI, Tarnab. The experiment was designed at 5 feet single row, plant to plant and row to row distances were 0.75 and 0.25 m, respectively. Three seed per hill were sown and latter thinned to one plant per hill after 4-5 leaf stage. Fertilizer in the form of urea and Di Ammonium Phosphate (DAP) were applied at the rate of 120 and 60 kg ha⁻¹, the phosphate and half nitrogen dose were applied at first irrigation. All the standard cultural practices were carried out throughout the whole growing season. The following parameters were taken under consideration and data were recorded at their appropriate time during the study:

1. 1000-Grain weight (TGW)

1000-grain were counted through actual counting from each plot and weighted by a physical balance in grams.

2. Number of grain head⁻¹ (NG)

Data were recorded on three randomly selected plants. All the grains from each head were threshed separately and then counted for each head. The average data were subjected to analysis.

3. Number of filled (NFG) and unfilled grain (NUF) head⁻¹

Data were recorded in the already randomly selected plants by counting the number of filled and unfilled grains head⁻¹ in the total number of grain head⁻¹.

4. Weight of grain (WG) head⁻¹ (g)

This was recorded by selecting heads randomly and weighing total grains head⁻¹.

5. Grain yield (GY)

For the determination of grain yield of the crop the heads of the plants of each plot were harvested at physical maturity. The heads were dried in sun light up to 8-9 % moisture and then the grains were separated from the heads. Grain yield was determined by adding the dried grains weight in the following formula.

$$GY (kg ha^{-1}) = \frac{kg \times 10000 (m^2)}{\text{Harvested area } (m^2)}$$

6. Harvest index (HI)

The harvest index was calculated for each plot using the formula:

$$HI = \frac{\text{Grain Yield (kg)} \times 100}{\text{Head Weight (kg)}}$$

The data obtained were statistically analyzed using Standard Deviation Normal curve to find out the genotypic differences. Correlation analyses were performed using the computer MSTATC program (Nissen *et al.*, 1987).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The analyzed data on 1000- grain weight, number of grains head⁻¹, number of filled and unfilled grains head⁻¹, weight of grains head⁻¹ (g), grain yield (kg ha⁻¹) and harvest index in single- and double- crossed hybrids are shown in table 1.

Significance differences were observed in 1000-grain weight in single- and double-cross hybrid of sunflower. Caylak and Emiroglu (1984) also reported 1000-grain weight for grain-yield among the cultivars for comparison proposes. In general, double-cross hybrid was comparatively superior compared with single-cross-hybrid, based on 1000-grain weight values. Gill and Punia (1996) also reported the superiority of double-cross hybrid over single-cross hybrid. The mean data of 1000-grain weight in single cross hybrid was between 28.87 g to 55.12 g, E-6 showed a minimum weight value of 28.87 g, whereas E-7 showed the maximum value of 55.12 g. In double-cross hybrid, the maximum weight value of 57.05 g was observed in E-2, followed by 55.62 and 53.50 g by E-5 and E-4, respectively. The lowest value of 1000-grain weight was recorded for E-1 (40.41 g) value which significantly positive correlated with 1000-grain weight in both single- and double-cross hybrid were (Table 3 and 4), were correlation values included weight of the grain head⁻¹, number of filled grain head⁻¹, harvest index and grain yield in kg ha⁻¹ (Chaudhary and Anand, 1993; Singh and Labana, 1990).

Significant differences in the number of seed head⁻¹ mean in single- and double- cross hybrid were also determined, supported by the findings of Pathak *et al.* (1983). In single-cross hybrids, the number of grain head⁻¹ mean in single-cross hybrids ranged from 508 to 1494. Maximum numbers of grain head⁻¹ were recorded for E-7 (1494) and minimum number of grain head⁻¹ (508) by E-3. In double- cross hybrids, the higher number was observed for E-2 (1113) while the lower number of grains was recorded for E- 6 (741). These observations are supporting the observation that describes the compensation effect between grain weight and its number when referred to single- and double- cross hybrids (Gill and Punia 1996). Correlation of the number of grain head⁻¹ in single- and double-cross hybrids (Table 3 and 4) were a significantly positive correlation with the number of filled grain head⁻¹, the weight of grain head⁻¹, and the grain yields (Punia and Gill 1994, Sherff *et al.* 1986). The present data supports the previous study where the number of grain head⁻¹ is an important yield component as far as sunflower is concerned (reference?).

Number of filled and unfilled grain head⁻¹ indicated significant differences among the genotypes of both types of hybrid crosses. The data of filled grains head⁻¹ ranges from 335 to 1397 (Table 1). Significant differences were observed in double-cross hybrid for the number of filled grain head⁻¹. The mean data in double cross hybrid

Table 1. Mean, standard deviation and increase percentages of the best cross-hybrid over population mean for different yield characters of seven single-cross sunflower hybrids

E.#	Single cross	NG	NFG	NUFG	WG	1000 GW	GY s(kg ha ⁻¹)	HI(%)
1	TF-11xTF-4	1140	963	177	48.0	42.8	2560	53.6
2	TF-17xTF-4	1355	1155	200	62.3	45.9	3323	55.5
3	TF-6xTF-8	508	335	173	27.6	40.8	1472	40.9
4	TF-18xGP-9	1348	1037	311	58.2	43.1	3105	58.9
5	TF-11xTF-14	1052	968	84	59.4	48.4	3168	59.7
6	TF-4xTF-1	1011	773	238	29.2	28.8	1557	41.9
7	TF-7xTF-11	1494	1397	97	82.0	55.1	4373	61.9
	Pop.mean	1130	947	183	52.0	43.6	2794	53.2
	S.D	326.0	331.5	78.6	19.3	8.0	1028	8.5
	Variance	106312	109897	6172	371.6	64.8	1057048	72.5
	% increase	32.1	47.5	69.9	57.6	26.47	56.5	16.35

Table 2. Mean, standard deviation, and % increase of the best cross over population mean for different yield characters of seven double cross sunflower hybrids

E.#	Double cross	NG	NFG	NUFG	WG	1000 GW	GY (kg ha ⁻¹)	HI (%)
1	(TF17xGP122)x(TF1097xGP22)	1027	838	189	41.5	40.4	2214	57.6
2	(TF7xTF22)x(TF17xTF18)	1113	877	236	63.5	57.05	3387	53.5
3	(TF22xTF6201)x(GP153xTF1034)	821	732	89	39.8	48.4	2123	56.2
4	(TF22xTF17)x(TF15xTF11)	807	678	129	42.9	53.2	2292	57.3
5	(TF11xGP9)x(DM7xTF7)	938	887	51	51.5	55.6	2747	54.9
6	(TF3xGP153)x(TF17xTF37)	711	570	141	22.5	30.4	1200	26.0
7	(TF5003xGP14)x(TF8xBOL1774)	1002	894	108	53.5	53.5	2853	56.0
	Pop.mean	917	782	135	45.0	48.4	2402	51.6
	S.D	142.5	125.1	62.0	12.9	9.7	690.7	11.4
	Variance	20322	15665	3848	167.7	93.9	477123	129.8
	% increase	21.37	14.32	74.81	40.8	17.8	40.9	10.96

ranged from 570 to 894 (Table 2). In double cross number of filled grain head⁻¹ increased at the rate of 14.32% over the mean of hybrid crosses, as far E-7 is concerned. Punia and Gill (1994) noted significant correlation of percentage of filled seed with seed yield per plant in both single- and double-cross hybrid.

The mean value of weight of grain head⁻¹ showed significant variations. Maximum weight of 62.30 and 62 g was observed in E-2 and E-7, respectively. The lowest weight of head grains (21.20 g) was observed for E-6 in single- crosses while in double-cross hybrids recorded the maximum weight by E-2 (63.50g) and the minimum (22.50g) by E-6 (Table 1). Single-cross hybrids were superior in weight of grain head⁻¹ than double-cross hybrids. Correlation of weight of grain per head in single- and double-crosses were positive with all the parameters studied. Abdel et al. (1983) and Pathak et al. (1983) also reported a significant association of weight of grains with seed yield.

The mean of harvest index value showed a significant variation among the genotypes of both hybrid crosses. Single-cross showed a higher harvest index compared with double-cross. In single-cross hybrid, the maximum harvest index (61.9 % ha⁻¹) was observed for E-7 and the lowest (40.9 %) by E-3, whereas in double-cross hybrids the highest value (57.6%) was observed by E-1 and the lowest by E-6 (26.0%). In double-cross hybrids, the correlation of harvest index was significantly positive only when compared with 1000-grain weight data. Rao and Lakshamn (1987) reported a significantly positive correlation of harvest index with seed yield as well.

Grain yields showed significant differences in single- as well as double-cross hybrids. Similar results have also been reported by Tariq et al. (1989). Minimum grain yield was observed by E- 3 entry, while the maximum grain yield was recorded by entry E-7, showing an increase of 56.51 % over the mean of single-cross hybrids. The grain yield data in double-crosses ranged from 1200 to 3387 kg

Table 3. Correlations of different yield characters of seven single cross sunflower hybrids

	NG	NFG	NUFG	WG(g)	1000 GW	GY(kg ha ⁻¹)	HI (%)
NG		0.97**	0.05	0.845**	0.49	0.84*	0.81*
NFG			-0.18	0.92**	0.63	0.92**	0.86*
NUFG				-0.366	-0.59	-0.36	-0.28
WG(g)					0.87**	1.00**	0.99**
1000 GW						0.87**	-0.82
GY(kg ha ⁻¹)							0.94**
HI (%)							

Table 4. Correlations of different yield characters of seven double cross sunflower hybrids

	NG	NFG	NUFG	WG(g)	1000 GW	GY(kg ha ⁻¹)	HI (%)
NG		0.90**	0.48	0.85*	0.89**	0.85*	0.58
NFG			0.05	0.86**	0.68	0.86**	0.69
NUFG				0.21	-0.12	0.21	-0.06
WG(g)					0.89**	1.00**	0.69
1000 GW						0.89**	0.75*
GY(kg ha ⁻¹)							0.19
HI (%)							

*=significant at 0.05probability **=significant at 0.01 probability

per ha. E-2 entry showed the maximum grain yield, with an increase of 40.99% over the mean of the population. Single-cross hybrids were superior to double-cross hybrid for grain yield, supporting the report by Giridharin et al. (1996). Correlation of grain yield in single-cross hybrid were significantly positive with the 1000-grain weight, the number and weight of grains per head, the number of filled / unfilled grain per head and the harvest index values (Punia and Gill 1994). The relation of grain yield between single-and double-cross hybrids showed the importance of using single cross-hybrid as indices for selection of superior double-cross hybrids.

REFERENCES

- Abdel-Geward AA, SA Saleh, MA Ashoub, MM El Gazzar (1983). Correlation study between leaf surface, head characteristics and yield of certain sunflower cultivars in Egypt. Seed Abs. 1989, Vol. 012, Abst. No. 02370.
- Caylak B, VSH Emiroglu (1984). Correlations among some agronomic and exchnological characters in sunflower. Pl. Br. Abst. 1988, Vol. 058, Abst. No. 00705.
- Chaudhary SK, IJ Anand (1985). Influence of various characters on yield of sunflowers. Pl. Br. Abst. 1987, Vol. 057, Abst. No. 03141.
- Dirrell DG (1983). "Sunflower", in CRC Handbook of processing and utilization in agriculture. Vol. II, Plant Products, ed. I.A. Wolff. 145-156. Boca Raton, FL. CRC Press.
- Doesthale YG (1972). The sunflower in nutrition. Vol.7, ed. S-C. Balasubramanian. 2-7. Hyderabad. National Institute of Nutrition.
- Gill HS, MS Punia (1996). Expression of heterosis in single, double and three-way cross hybrids of sunflower (*Helianthus annuus* L.). Helia. 19(25): 111-117.
- Giridharin S, MN Prasad, SR Rangaswamy (1996). Diallel, triallel and quadriallel analysis for grain yield in maize. Maize Agric. J. 83(4), 230-236.
- Nissen O, EH Eyerson, SP Eisensmith, V Smail, J Anderson, K Rortice, D Rittersdorf, P Wolberg, M Weher, B Bricker, T Heath, J Tohme (1987). "MSTAT," A Micro Computer Programme for the Design, Management and Analysis of Agronomic Research Experiments. MSTAT Development Team Michigan State University and Agricultural University of Norway. 4(6): 15-17.
- Pathak AR, MU Kukadia, BA Kunadia (1983). Correlation and path analysis in sunflower. Ind. J. Agric. Sci. 53: 62-65.
- Punia MS, HS Gill (1994). Correlation and path coefficient analysis for seed yield traits in sunflower (*Helianthus annuus* L.). Helia. 17(20): 7-11.
- Rao NGL, RNG Lakshman (1987). Studies on correlation and path coefficient analysis in sunflower. Pl. Br. Abst. 1988, Vol. 058, Abst. No. 07752.
- Sheriff NM, R Appadurai, SR Rangasamy (1986). Growth pattern, heterosis and correlation in sunflower. Pl. Br. Abst. 1988, Vol. 058, Abst. No. 09775.
- Singh SB, KS Labana (1990). Correlation and path analysis in sunflower. Field Crops Abst. 1992, Vol. 045, Abst. No. 065553.
- Tariq M, G Idrees, A Tahir (1989). Genetic variability and correlation studies in sunflower. Sarhad J. Agric. 8(6):659-662.