Full Length Research Paper

Physical and microbial properties of fruit flavoured fermented cowmilk and soy milk (yoghurt-like) under different temperature of storage.

Farinde E.O.¹, Obatolu, V.A.¹, Oyarekua, M.A.², Adeniran, H. A.³, Ejoh, S. I.¹ and Olanipekun, O.T.¹

Institute of Agricultural Research and Training, P.M.B. 5029, Ibadan, Nigeria. Department of Biological and Chemical Science. University of Science and Technology. Ifaki-Ekiti, Nigeria. Department of Food Science and Technology, Obafemi Awolowo University, Ile-Ife, Nigeria.

Accepted 5 November, 2010

Quest for affordable shelf stable and acceptable fermented milk from non- dairy source informed this study, in which soymilk and dairy milk were processed into fermented drink (yoghurt-like). Water from fermented maize (maize steep water) was used as starter source while natural extracts of orange, pineapple, grape and banana were employed as flavors. The flavored fermented milk samples were physically observed and microbiologically evaluated for 17 days. Samples stored at ambient lasted for 24 hours. Change in color and flavor were observed by Day 2 and Day 4 at ambient ($\pm 27^{\circ}$ C) and refrigerated temperature ($\pm 4^{\circ}$ C) respectively. Total aerobic count and Lactic acid bacteria count of most of the samples increased by Day 4 at refrigerated temperature followed by a decrease from Day 10. Staphylococcus count was nil at freezing temperature in all the fermented milk samples. Freezing drastically reduce the microbial load of all the fermented milk (yoghurt-like) samples.

Keywords: Cowmilk, Soymilk, fermented milk, yoghurt-like, ambient, refrigerated, freezing temperature.

INTRODUCTION

Yoghurt is the Turkish word for milk that has been curdled with lactic starter (Fias Co. Farm 2006). Yoghurt is a probiotic product. Probiotic product contains live active micro-organisms which upon ingestion in sufficient number excert health benefits beyond the inherent basic nutrition (Guarner and Shaafsma, 1998) Yoghurt consumption has increased due to its health benefit (Wood, 1992).

Milk is produced by a number of animals for human consumption, though commercial wise, source from cow is the most popular.

Yoghurt is traditionally produced from cowmilk. Goatmilk has also been found as a good raw material for yoghurt processing as it compared well with cowmilk in terms of nutrients composition (Ohiokpehai, 2003; Obatolu, et al., 2007). Due to continuous increase in population and inadequate supply of animal protein leading to malnutrition in developing countries, many research work have been geared towards finding alternative protein sources from legumes (Siddhuraju *et al.*, 1996; Nsofor and Maduako, 1992). Soymilk yoghurt serves as a very good alternative to the expensive cowmilk yoghurt (Nsofor and Maduako, 1992; Ashaye *et al.*, 2001; Jimoh and Kolapo, 2007; Osundahunsi *et al.*, 2007; Farinde *et al.*, 2008; Farinde *et al.*, 2009)

Soymilk has a characteristic beany flavour and this offflavour has often made it less acceptable than cow milk, but this has reportedly been reduced by lactic acid fermentation (Mital *et al.*, 1974; Pithang *et al.*, 1980). Lee *et al.* (1990) reported the health benefit of lactic acid fermentation of soymilk to include reduced level of cholesterol. Chang *et al.* (2005) also reported that intake of fermented soymilk improves the ecosystem intestinal tract by increasing the amount of probiotics. Various processing methods have been developed to reduce

^{*}Corresponding author Email: osekinat@yahoo.co.uk, Telephone: +234 80 75459882

syneresis in soy-yoghurt and improve its acceptability (Jimoh and Kolapo, 2007; Lee, 1990; Moor, 1985 and Collins, 1991).

The commercially available yoghurt is flavored with synthetic flavors such as vanilla, strawberry, chocolate, etc. Nowadays, some industries add fruits in form of fruit preserves, canned fruits, frozen fruits and miscellaneous fruit products (Tiamime and Robinson 1985) Natural fruits are known to be rich in vitamins and minerals which subsequently fortify cowmilk and soymilk when they are added to them as flavors. The possibility of fresh fruit as flavor in yoghurt processing for cost reduction and micronutrient fortification can be the focus of the research. This study therefore aimed at monitoring the physical and microbial changes in fresh fruit flavored yoghurt from cowmilk and soybean milk stored under different temperature.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Fresh cowmilk was obtained at a local dairy farm via Omi-Adio, Ibadan, Nigeria. Soybean (Glycine max) was purchased at Apata market, Ibadan. Fruits (oranges, pineapple, banana and grape) were also purchased at fruit market, Ibadan, Nigeria. Maize steep water (starter) was water on top of fermented maize paste (omi ogi). The yoghurt samples were processed using the method described by Muhammad and Abubakar, 2004; Farinde et al., 2008 with slight modification. The cowmilk was pasteurized at 65°C ± 3°C for 30 minutes in water bath. Soymilk was boiled at 100°C for 20 minutes. Milk from both sources were cooled down to 50°C ± 2°C. Milk samples (5000mls) were inoculated with 500mls of maize steep water (10:1). The inoculated milk was incubated in a tight fitted warmer and placed in a warm place to ferment for 12 hours and 8 hours respectively for cowmilk and soymilk during which curds were formed. The curd from each type of milk was blended separately using mechanical blender (Magic Blender Petunjuk Nakai, Japan). Blend from each type of milk was dispensed into five sterile containers. Fruit juice (orange, pineapple, banana and grape) was added to the blend of cowmilk and soymilk in four different containers respectively while the fifth container contain the control. The milk and the fruit juice were mixed in ratio 4:1 i.e. 1000mls of milk + 250mls of fruit juice. The mixture were filled into sterile bottles, coded and labeled as follows:

- PSY Plain soymilk yoghurt-like
- SYO Soymilk yoghurt-like flavored with orange
- SYP Soymilk yoghurt-like flavored with pineapple
- SYG Soymilk yoghurt-like flavored with grape
- SYB Soymilk yoghurt-like flavored with banana
- PCY Plain cowmilk yoghurt-like
- CYO Plain cowmilk yoghurt-like flavored with orange
- CYP Cowmilk yoghurt-like flavored with pineapple
- CYG Cowmilk yoghurt-like flavored with grape
- CYB Cowmilk yoghurt-like flavored with banana.

Storage

The yoghurt samples were stored at ambient temperature (27°C \pm 2⁰C), refrigerated temperature (4 \pm 2 °C) and freezing temperature (–18°C) for 17 days.

Physical Observation

The samples were physically observed using visual appearance, taste and smell characteristics to determine their wholesomeness

on day 0 to day 2 at ambient and day 0 to day 8 at refrigerated and freezing temperature respectively.

Microbial Determination

Microbial count of the fermented milk samples was determined using the method used by Jimoh and Kolapo (2007). Sample (0.1ml) of the appropriate dilution was plated out on nutrient agar, manRogsa and Sharpe medium, and manitol salt agar for determination of Total viable count, Lactic acid bacteria count and *Staphylococcus* count respectively. The plates were incubated at 35°C for 48 hours and colony forming unit per ml sample (cfu/ml) was estimated.

Statistical Analysis

Data were analyzed using SPSS. Experimental design adopted was $10 \times 4 \times 3$ factorial experiment, ie number of samples were 10, number of days of storage were 4 (0, 4, 10 and 17), and temperature of storage were 3 (ambient, refrigeration and freezing) respectively.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Physical Observations:

The physical observations of the flavored and unflavored yoghurt-like samples from both cowmilk and soymilk showed spoilage by 48 hours storage at ambient temperature (Table 1). Change in color from creamy to brownish and change in taste and flavor were observed in all the stored yoghurt-like samples at refrigerated temperature by Day 4 (Table 2). The reason for this might be due to the fact that no preservative was added, It has been reported by many authors that physical properties of voghurt are affected by the milk composition, processing condition, type of heat treatment applied. acidity, coagulum handling and presence of stabilizer (Nielson 1975; Rasic and Kurman 1978; Parnell et al. 1986). All the yoghurt-like samples retained their color with no whey separation at freezing temperature till Day 10 (Table 2) This is in line with the finding of Ashave et al., (2001) in which the shelf life of soy-yoghurt in freezing temperature (- 18°C) was longer than those stored at refrigerated and ambient temperature.

Microbial Count

Total aerobic count of all the stored yoghurt-like samples decreased with days of storage and temperature of storage. Total aerobic count was nil in soymilk yoghurt flavored with orange stored at freezing temperature on Day 17 (Table 3). Freezing drastically reduce the total aerobic count in all the stored yoghurt samples (Table 3). The highest total aerobic count was recorded in cowmilk yoghurt-like flavored with banana stored at ambient

Table 1. Physical observation of flavored fermented milk (cowmilk yoghurt-like and soymilk yoghurt-like) during storage Day 0 – Day 2.

Storage Days	Day 0	Day 1			Day 2		
Storage temperature	Ambient	Ambient	Refrigerated	Freezing	Ambient	Refrigerated	Freezing
PCY	Whitish	Whitish, whey not	Whitish, whey not	Whitish, whey	Whitish, whey	Whitish, whey	Whitish ,whey
	wholesome	separated	separated	not separated	not separated,	not separated,	not separated,
					smell spoilt	not spoilt	not spoilt
CYO	Creamy white,	Creamy white,	Creamy white,	Creamy white,	Creamy, whey	Creamy, whey	Creamy white,
	wholesome	whey not	whey not	whey not	separated,	separated, not	whey not
		separated, not	separated, not	separated, not	smell spoilt	spoilt	separated, not
		spoilt	spoilt	spoilt			spoilt
CYP	Whitish	Whitish, whey not	Whitish, whey not	Creamy, whey	Creamy, whey	Creamy, whey	Creamy, whey not
	wholesome	separated, not	separated, not	separated, not	separated,	separated, not	separated, no spoilt
		spoilt	spoilt	spoilt	smell spoilt	spoilt	
CYG	Whitish	Whitish, whey not	Whitish, whey not	Whitish, whey	Creamy, watery,	Creamy, whey	Creamy, whey
	wholesome	separated, not	separated, not	not separated,	whey separated,	not separated,	not separated,
		spoilt	spoilt	not spoilt	smell spoilt	not spoilt	not spoilt
СҮВ	Creamy	Creamy, whey	Creamy, whey	Creamy, whey	Yellowish, watery	Creamy, whey	Creamy, whey
	wholesome	not separated,	not separated,	not separated,	whey not separated,	not separated,	not separated,
		not spoilt	not spoilt	not spoilt	brownish mold on the surface spoilt	not spoilt	not spoilt
	Creamywhite	Creamy white,	Creamy white,	Creamy white,	Creamy white,	Creamy white,	Creamy white,
	wholesome	whey not	whey not	whey not	whey not	whey not	whey not
SYO		separated, not	separated, not	separated, not	separated, smell	separated, not	separated, not
		spoilt	spoilt	spoilt	spoilt	spoilt	spoilt
SYP	Creamywhite	Creamy white,	Creamy white,	Creamy white,	Creamy white,	Creamy white,	Creamy white,
	wholesome	whey separated,	whey separated,	whey separated,	whey separated,	whey separated,	whey separated,
		not spoilt	not spoilt	not spoilt	smell spoilt	not spoilt	not spoilt

Table 1 continue

SYG	Creamy white, wholesome	Creamy white, whey not separated, not spoilt	Creamy white, whey not separated, not spoilt	Creamy white, whey not separated, not spoilt	Creamy white, whey not separated, smell spoilt	Creamy white, whey not separated, not spoilt	Creamy white, whey not separated, not spoilt
SYB	Creamy, wholesome	Creamy, whey not separated, not Spoilt	Creamy, whey not separated, not spoilt	Creamy, whey not separated, not spoilt	Turning brownish not separated, mold on the surface, smell spoilt	Creamy, whey not separated, not spoilt	Creamy, whey not separated, not spoilt
PSY	Creamy, wholesome	Creamy, whey not separated, not Spoilt	Creamy, whey not separated, not spoilt	Creamy, whey not separated, not spoilt	Creamy, whey not separated, smell spoilt	Creamy, whey not separated, not spoilt	Creamy, whey not separated, not spoilt

Table 2. Physical observation of flavoured fermented milk (cowmilk yoghurt-like and soymilk yoghurt-like) during storage (Day 3, Day 4, Day 10 and Day 17

Sample	Day 3 Refrigerated	Freezing	Day 4 Refrigerated	Freezing	Day 10 Refriger ated	Freezing	Day 17 Refrigerated	Freezing
SYO	Creamy, whey not separated	Creamy, whey not separated, not spoilt	Turning brownish whey not separated, not spoilt	Creamy, whey not separated, not spoilt	N. D.	Creamy, whey not separated, smell not spoilt	N. D.	Creamy, whey separated, not spoilt
SYP	Brownish, whey separated, not spoilt	Creamy, whey separated, smell not spoilt	Yellowish, whey separated, smell spoilt	Creamy, whey separated, smell not spoilt	N. D.	Creamy, whey Separated, smell not spoilt	N. D.	Creamy, whey separated, smell not spoilt
SYG	Creamy, whey not separated, smell not spoilt	Creamy ,whey not separated, smell not spoilt	Creamy, whey not separated, smell not spoilt	Creamy, whey not separated, smell not spoilt	N. D.	Creamy, whey separated, smell not spoilt	N. D.	Creamy, whey separated, smell not spoilt
SYB	Creamy, whey separated, smell not spoilt	Creamy, whey not separated, smell not spoilt	Brownish, whey not separated, smell not spoilt	Creamy, whey not separated, Smell not spoilt	N. D.	Creamy, whey not separated, smell not spoilt	N. D.	Whitish, whey separated, smell not spoilt
PSY	Creamy white, whey separated, smell not spoilt	Creamy white, whey separated, smell not spoilt	Brownish, whey Separated, smell spoilt	Creamy white, Whey not separated, Smell not spoilt	N. D.	Creamy white, whey separated, smell not spoilt	N. D.	Creamy white, whey separated, smell not spoilt

124 Afr. J. Food Sci.Technol.

Table 2. continue

Days storage	of	Day 3		Day 4		Day 10		Day 17	
Sample		Refrigerated	Freezing	Refrigerated	Freezing	Refrigerated	Freezing	Refrigerated	Freezing
PCY		Creamy white ,whey separated, smell not spoilt	Creamy white, whey separated, smell not spoilt	Brownish, whey separated, smell spoilt	Creamy, whey separated smell not spoilt	N.D	Creamy white, whey separated, smell not spoilt	N.D	Creamy white, whey separated, smell not spoilt
CYO		Creamy, whey not separated, smell not spoilt	Creamy, whey not separated, smell not spoilt	Yellowish, whey separated, smell spoilt	Creamy, whey separated, smell not spoilt	N.D	Creamy, whey separated, smell not spoilt	N.D	Creamy, whey separated, smell not spoilt
СҮР		Creamy whey separated, smell not spoilt	Creamy, whey separated, smell not spoilt	Brownish, whey separated, smell spoilt	Creamy, whey separated, smell not spoilt	N.D	Creamy, whey separated, smell spoilt	N.D	N.D
CYG		Whitish, whey not separated, smell not spoilt	Whitish, whey not separated smell not spoilt	Yellowish, whey separated, smell spoilt	Whitish, whey separated, smell not spoilt	N.D	Whitish, whey separated, smell not spoilt	N.D	Whitish, whey separated smell not spoilt
СҮВ		Creamy, whey separated, not spoilt	Creamy, whey not separated, not spoilt	Yellowish, whey separated, spoilt	Creamy, whey not separated, not spoilt	N.D	Creamy, whey not separated, smell not spoilt	N.D	Creamy, whey not separated, not spoilt

N.D. = Not Done

Table 3. Total aerobic count (TAC) of yoghurt samples at storage (cfu/ml)

Days of Storage	Day 0	Day 4		Day 10		Day 17	
Temperature of Storage Samples	Ambient	Refrigerated	Freezing	Refrigerated	Freezing	Refrigerated	Freezing
SYO	10.5 ± 1.2	11.5 ± 0.1	10.0 ± 0	10.3 ± 0.1	10.4 ± 0.1	6.0 ± 0.1	0 ± 0
SYP	2.2 ± 0.5	6.5 ± 0.4	2.2 ± 0.1	6.1 ± 0.1	1.7 ± 0.2	6.3 ± 1.1	1.1 ± 0.2
SYG	8.0 ± 0.7	9.8 ± 0.7	2.7 ± 0.5	7.1 ± 0.2	1.1 ± 0.3	7.0 ± 1.0	1.0 ± 0.4
SYB	7.2 ± 0	7.9 ± 0.6	5.2 ± 0.3	6.1 ± 0.4	2.2 ± 0.2	6.1 ± 0.4	2.0 ± 0.1
PSY	20.3 ± 1.1	11.5 ± 0.9	5.6 ± 0.3	6.5 ± 0.4	5.3 ± 0.4	4.1 ± 0.7	2.1±0.1

Table 3 continue

CYO	20.2 ± 0.7	21.5 ±.0.1	3.0 ± 0.5	12.7 ± 0	2.2 ± 0.1	10.2 ± 0.1	1.4 ± 0.2
CYP	21.2 ± 1.1	21.7 ± 0.1	5.2 ± 0.4	13.7 ± 1.0	9.4 ± 0.3	16.4 ± 0.4	4.5 ± 0.1
CYG	10.0 ± 1.1	9.8 ± 0.8	6.3 ± 1.1	9.6 ± 0.8	6.3 ± 0.1	10.0 ± 0.1	5.5 ± 0.8
CYB	32.5 ± 0.6	36.3 ± 1.2	6.5 ± 0.3	33.3 ± 0.2	5.5 ± 0.3	12.5 ± 0.2	8.2 ± 0.5
PCY	14.9 ± 0.1	19.9 ± 1.1	11.5 ± 0.4	16.5 ± 0.3	8.3 ± 0.2	10.1 ± 0.3	2.3 ± 0.2

Values represent the means ± standard error of three replicates of each plate count.

Table 4. Lactic Acid Bacteria Count of yoghurt-like samples at storage (cfu/ml)

Days of	Day 0	Day 4		Day 10		Day 17	
Storage Temperature of Storage Samples	Ambient	Refrigerated	Freezing	Refrigerated	Freezing	Refrigerated	Freezing
SYO .	15.0 ± 1.5	15.5 ± 1.2	4.4 ± 0.9	14.3 ± 0.3	4.4 ± 0.1	12.4 ± 0.1	4.1 ± 0.8
SYP	11.3 ± 0.2	15.5 ± 1.2	10.1 ± 0.1	11.2 ± 0.1	4.7 ± 0.4	10.2 ± 1.0	4.2 ± 0.2
SYG	15.0 ± 1.2	12.3 ± 0.1	7.1 ± 0.5	15.2 ± 0.4	5.9 ± 0.3	12.6 ± 0.2	4.2 ± 0.1
SYB	12.3 ± 1.2	22.0 ± 1.1	4.6 ± 0.1	18.3 ± 0.2	6.0 ± 0.1	11.3 ± 0.2	5.6 ± 0.1
PSY	16.1 ± 1.2	16.5 ± 1.0	1.1 ± 0.1	15.5 ± 0.3	6.1 ± 0.1	18.5 ± 0.1	5.0± 0.3
CYO	19.4 ± 1.2	22.6 ±.1.1	3.0 ± 0.5	12.7 ± 0	2.2 ± 0.1	10.2 ± 0.1	1.4 ± 0.2
CYP	20.0 ± 1.1	22.1 ± 1.0	8.5 ± 0.2	20.6 ± 1.0	7.1±0.4	18.2 ± 0.2	6.9 ± 0.4
CYG	19.2 ± 0.1	19.6 ± 1.1	5.5 ± 0.7	18.8 ± 0.2	4.1 ± 0.2	20.4 ± 0.4	5.8 ± 0.2
СҮВ	25.2 ± 0.6	23.1 ± 0.1	8.7 ± 0.1	22.1 ± 1.2	7.2 ± 0.4	22.2 ± 0.2	7.2 ± 0.4
PCY	26.0 ± 0.1	24.2 ± 0.8	11.5 ± 0.1	24.2± 0.2	7.1 ± 0.1	22.0 ± 0.1	7.2 ± 0.1

Values represent the mean ± standard error of three replicates of each plate count.

Table 5.	Staphylococcus	Count of	yoghurt-like	samples a	at storage	(cfu/ml)

Days of Storage	Day 0	Day 4		Day 10		Day 17	
Temperature of Storage	Ambient	Refrigerated	Freezing	Refrigerated	Freezing	Refrigerated	Freezing
SYO	1.0 ± 0.2	1.8 ± 0.2	0 ± 0	1.0 ± 0.1	0 ± 0	1.0 ± 0	0 ± 0
SYP	0 ± 0.	0 ± 0	0 ± 0	0 ± 0	0 ± 0	0 ± 0	0 ± 0

SYG	0 ± 0	0 ± 0	0 ± 0	0 ± 0	0 ± 0	0 ± 0	0 ± 0
SYB	1.1 ± 03	1.3 ± 0	1.1 ± 0	1.1 ± 0.2	0 ± 0	1.2 ± 0.1	0 ± 0
PSY	0 ± 0	0 ± 0	1.0 ± 0.1	0 ± 0	1.0 ± 0	0 ± 0	0 ± 0
CYO	0 ± 0	0 ± 0	0 ± 0	0 ± 0	0 ± 0	0 ± 0	0 ± 0
CYP	0 ± 0	1.0 ± 0.1	0 ± 0	0 ± 0	0 ± 0	0 ± 0	0 ± 0
CYG	1.1 ± 0.7	1.0 ± 0.2	1.0 ± 0.2	1.0 ± 0.1	0 ± 0	1.0 ± 0	0 ± 0
CYB	1.1 ± 0.2	1.1 ± 0.2	0 ± 0	1.3 ± 0.1	1.1 ± 0.1	0 ± 0	0 ± 0
PCY	0 ± 0.	0 ± 0	0 ± 0	0 ± 0	0 ± 0	0 ± 0	0 ± 0

Table 5. continue

Values represent the means \pm standard error of three replicates of each plate count.

 Table 6.
 ANOVA Table (Mean Square) showing the interactive effect of Sample, Day and Temperature on the microbial count of the yoghurt-like samples

Source	df	Staphylococcus Count	Total aerobic	Lactic acid
			Count	bacteria Count
Sample	9	2.966*	393.779*	181.626*
Day	2	0.979*	470.222*	32.989*
Temperature	1	5.618*	1684.836*	6421.333*
SxD	18	0.366	68.413NS	10.595*
SxT	9	0.897*	294.880*	55.634*
D x T	2	0.037NS	129.199NS	11.827*
SxDxT	18	0.250*	87.815NS	12.768*
Error	140	0.013	57.711	0.378
Total	209			

S	=	Sample
D	=	Day
Т	=	Temperature
*	=	Significant at P<0.05
NS	=	Not Significant

temperature 32.5 ± 0.6 cfu/ml (Table 3). Plain cowmilk voghurt-like recorded the highest lactic acid bacteria count 26.0 ± 0.1 cfu/ml on Day 0 at ambient temperature and this was reduced to 7.2 ± 0.1cfu/ml on Day 17 at freezing temperature (Table 4). Staphylococcus count was present in soymilk yoghurt-like samples flavored with orange and banana and cowmilk yoghurt-like samples flavored with grape and banana (SYO, SYB, CYG and CYB) on Day 0 at ambient, 1.1±0.2, 1.1±0.3, 1.1±0.7, and 1.1±0.2 respectively. (Table 5). Presence of Staphylococcus could be that the fruit added especially banana was contaminated since none of the plain yoghurt-like sample recorded staphylococcus count, Banana flavored voghurt-like sample (from both cowmilk and soymilk) recorded presence of Staphylococcus. However, the Staphylococcus count in these samples was very negligible, although the Bulletin of the Ministry of Agriculture (Codex Alimentarius, 1998) stated that no Staphylococcus is allowed in final milk product.

The result of the interactive effect of Sample, day and temperature on the microbial count of the yoghurt-like samples showed a significant (P<0.05) interactive effect on *Staphylococcus* and Lactic acid bacteria count, while a non significant (P<0.05) interactive effect was shown for Total aerobic count (Table 6).

CONCLUSION

Soymilk and cowmilk can be fermented into yoghurt using maize steep water as starter and flavored with natural fruit juice. The flavored milk could only be stored at ambient temperature for 1 day and at refrigerated temperature for 4 days without any change in physical and visual appearance.

The result of the microbial determination showed that fruits addition if not sterile before use would contaminate the yoghurt as the plain fermented milk samples (both cowmilk and soymilk) were free of *Staphylococcus* contamination.

Freezing drastically reduce the microbial load of the flavored and unflavored yoghurt samples at storage hence it is recommended that yoghurt either from cowmilk or soymilk is best stored at freezing condition.

REFERENCES

Ashaye OA, Taiwo LB, Fasiyiro SB and Akinnagbe CA (2001).Compositional and shelf life properties of soy yoghurt using two starter cultures. Nutr. and Food Sci. 31(5): 247-250.

- Chang IC, Shang HF Lin T, Wang TH and Lin SH (2005). Effect of fermented soymilk in the intestinal bacteria ecosystem. World J.Gastro enterol 1225-1227.
- Codex Alimentarius (1998). Bulletin of Ministry of Agriculture, Slovak Republic, Vol. XXX Section 21 Supply No. 3 to the 4th chapter of the 2nd part of FC.
- Collins JL, Ebah CB, Mount JR, Demott BJ and Draughon FA (1991). Production and evaluation of milk sweet potato mixtures fermented with yoghurt bacteria .J. Food Sci. 56(3): 685-688.
- Farinde EO, Obatolu VA, Fasoyiro SB, Adeniran AH and Agboola ER (2008). Use of Alternative raw materials for yoghurt production. Afri. J. Biotechnol 7 (33): 3339-3345.
- Farinde EO, Adesetan TO, Obatolu VA and Oladapo MO (2009). Chemical and microbial properties of yoghurt processed from cow milk and soymilk. J.Food Processing and Preservation. 33: 245 – 259.
- Fias Co Farm (2006). Home Dairy and Cheese Makings: Yoghurt recipe. <u>*Http://fiascofarm.com/dairy/yoghurt.htm*</u>
- Guarner F, Shaafsnna GJ (1998). Probiotics. Int. J. Food Microbiology 39: 237-238.
- Jimoh, KO and Kolapo, AC (2007). Effect of different stabilizers on acceptability and shelf stability of soy-yoghurt. African Journal of Biotechnology. Vol. 6(8) Pp 1000-1003.
- Lee SY, Marr CV and Seo, A (1990). Comparison of milk-based and soymilk based yoghurt. J. Food Sci. 55: 532-536.
- Mital BK, Steinkraus KH and Naylor HB (1974). Growth of lactic acid bacteria in soymilik. J. Food Sci. 39: 1018.
- Moor CV (1985). Functionality of heated milk proteins in dairy and related foods. J. Dairy Sci. 66: 2773.
- Muhammad BF and Abubakar MM (2001). Household yoghurt production techniques. In: Research Report Proceedings of AESON. Pp. 91-96.
- Nielson V, (1975). Factors which control the body and texture of commercial yoghurts. AM. Dairy Review 37(11):36-39.
- Nsofor LM and Maduako O 1992. Stabilized soymilk for ambient tropical storage: A preliminary report. Int. J. Food Sci. Technol. 27: 573-576.
- Obatolu VA, Adebowale EA, Omidokun FA and Farinde EO (2007). Comparative evaluation of yoghurt samples from goats and cowmilk and commercial retail outlet. Nigerian J. Animal Production. 34(1):163 – 171.
- Ohiokpehai O (2003). Processed food products and nutrient composition of goat milk. Pakistan Journal of Nutrition 2(2): 68 71.
- Oshundahunsi OF, Amosu D, Ifesan BOT (2007). Quality Evaluation and Acceptability of soy- yoghurt with different colours and fruit flavours. American J. Food Technol 2(4) 273-280.
- Parnell EM, Kakuda Y, Deman JM (1986). Influence of heat treatment of milk and the flow properties of yoghurt. J. Food Sci. 56(6):1459 1462.
- Pithong R, Macrae R, Rothwell J (1980). The development of a soybased yoghurt part II: Sensory evaluation and analysis of volatiles. J. Food Sci. Technol. 32: 313-324
- Rasic J, Kurmaun JA (1978). Yoghurt scientific grounds, technology manufacture and preparations. Technical Dairy Pub. House Copenhagen, Denmark.
- Siddhuraju P, Vijakumari K, Janardhanan K (1996). Chemical composition and protein quality of the little known legume, Velvet bean (Mucuna Pruriens). J. Agric. Food Chem. 44: 2636-2641.
- Tiamime AY, Robinson RK (1985). Yoghurt: Science and Technology. Pergamon press ltd. Hill Hall, Oxford OX3, England, 1 – 431.
- Wood BJB (Ed) (1992). The lactic acid bacteria in health and disease London England. Elsevier Appl.Sci 1: 151-339.