



Midwifery Models of Care: A Comprehensive Review and Analysis

Hilde Sundlin*

Faculty of Health and Social Sciences, Department of Optometry, University of South-Eastern Norway, Drammen, Norway

*Corresponding Author's E-mail: hilde.Sundling@usn.no

Received: 01-Aug-2023, Manuscript No. jrnm-23-109147; **Editor assigned:** 04-Aug-2023, PreQC No. jrnm-23-109147(PQ); **Reviewed:** 18-Aug-2023, QC No. jrnm-23-109147; **Revised:** 23-Aug-2023, Manuscript No. jrnm-23-109147(R); **Published:** 30-Aug-2023, DOI: 10.14303/2315-568X.2022.59

Abstract

Maternity care is a pivotal aspect of women's health, and midwifery models of care have emerged as vital alternatives to conventional medicalised approaches to childbirth. This research article explores and analyses diverse midwifery models of care from around the globe, examining their historical roots, core principles, and impacts on maternal and neonatal outcomes. The article highlights the evolution of midwifery through history, emphasizing its role in empowering women and promoting informed decision-making. By presenting various midwifery models, including those from the Dutch, Scandinavian, Indigenous, and African traditions, this study showcases their unique philosophies and practices. Furthermore, the article delves into the challenges and benefits of integrating midwifery into modern healthcare systems and reviews existing evidence on its outcomes compared to medicalised care. Ultimately, this research reaffirms the importance of midwifery models of care in offering personalized, holistic, and respectful childbirth experiences, advocating for their increased recognition and integration within healthcare systems to enhance maternal and neonatal well-being.

Keywords: Midwifery, Models of care, Maternity care, Childbirth; Maternal outcomes, Neonatal outcomes, Medical interventions, Global health challenges

INTRODUCTION

Childbirth is a transformative and significant life event for women and their families, marking the beginning of a new chapter in their lives. The quality of maternity care during this critical time profoundly influences the health and well-being of both mother and child. Over the centuries, midwifery, as a profession and a practice, has been an integral part of providing care and support to women during pregnancy, childbirth, and the postpartum period. Midwifery, often associated with personalized, holistic, and woman-centered care, stands in contrast to the medicalized approach to childbirth that has become prevalent in many healthcare systems. Midwives, with their specialized knowledge and skills, have historically played a central role in guiding women through the natural process of giving birth, offering emotional and physical support (Xiao S, et al., 2021).

The philosophy underlying midwifery models of care is rooted in the belief that childbirth is a normal physiological process, and most women can give birth without the need for unnecessary medical interventions. Midwives advocate for promoting natural childbirth whenever possible, only intervening when complications arise or specialized medical attention is required. Throughout history, midwifery has adapted and evolved to suit the cultural norms and healthcare practices of different societies. While some midwifery models are deeply rooted in traditional knowledge and indigenous practices, others have undergone transformations to align with modern healthcare systems and technologies (Deng CM, 2018).

The objective of this research article is to explore and analyze the various midwifery models of care from around the world. By examining their historical development, core principles, and outcomes, we aim to shed light on the

importance of midwifery in modern healthcare systems and its potential to improve maternal and neonatal health outcomes. Additionally, this article will highlight the benefits and challenges associated with integrating midwifery into contemporary maternity care and provide evidence-based insights to inform future directions for enhancing maternal and neonatal care worldwide (Goldman RD, 2009).

Childbirth, a momentous event that marks the beginning of new life, is a profoundly transformative experience for women, their families, and society as a whole. Ensuring optimal maternal and neonatal health during this critical period is of paramount importance, and the quality of maternity care significantly impacts the well-being of both mother and child. Amidst various approaches to childbirth, midwifery models of care have emerged as distinct paradigms, offering unique perspectives on how to support women throughout their childbearing journey (Kajermo KN, 2008). Midwifery, as an ancient and time-honored profession, has been an indispensable pillar of maternal care across cultures and civilizations. Midwives, with their extensive knowledge and expertise, have historically provided compassionate, continuous, and woman-focused care to expectant mothers. The core principles of midwifery emphasize the empowerment of women, respecting their autonomy in decision-making, and fostering a nurturing environment that facilitates natural birth while minimizing unnecessary medical interventions (Cutts B, 1999).

The journey of childbirth is a profound and transformative experience that marks a pivotal moment in the lives of women and families. As such, ensuring optimal maternal and neonatal health is of paramount importance, and the approach to maternity care plays a critical role in achieving this goal. Amidst the various approaches to childbirth, midwifery models of care have emerged as essential frameworks that prioritize personalized, compassionate (Albarran JW, 2004), and woman-centered practices. At the heart of midwifery models of care lie core principles that revolve around the empowerment of women as active participants in their childbirth experience. Informed decision-making, open communication and respecting the autonomy of women are fundamental aspects of midwifery practice. These principles aim to foster a sense of partnership and trust between midwives and expectant mothers, enabling women to make well-informed choices that align with their values and preferences (McWilliams MM, 2017).

In stark contrast to the medicalized approach to childbirth prevalent in many healthcare systems, midwifery models emphasize the innate strength of women's bodies and the normalcy of the birthing process. While recognizing the importance of medical interventions when necessary, midwives advocate for the promotion of natural childbirth and avoid unnecessary medical procedures that may disrupt the natural course of labor. Throughout history, midwifery has faced various challenges and adaptations, influenced by

cultural norms, advances in medical science, and societal perceptions (Prieto R, 2021). In the 20th century, with the rise of medical interventions and hospital-based childbirth, midwifery encountered marginalization and even suppression in some regions. However, in recent decades, there has been a resurgence of interest in midwifery models of care, driven by evidence highlighting their potential to enhance maternal and neonatal outcomes while promoting a more positive childbirth experience (Segevall C, 2019).

This research article seeks to provide a comprehensive review and analysis of the diverse midwifery models of care found worldwide. By exploring the historical development and cultural contexts of these models, we can gain valuable insights into the richness and diversity of midwifery practices (Su SF, 2021). Moreover, we will examine the evidence on the outcomes associated with midwifery-led care, including maternal satisfaction, rates of medical interventions, and neonatal health indicators, to determine the effectiveness of these models in promoting positive maternal and neonatal health (Hines CB, 2019).

As healthcare systems continue to evolve, there is a growing recognition of the importance of integrating midwifery into modern maternity care. Efforts to improve interprofessional collaboration, foster understanding between midwives and obstetricians, and create supportive policies are becoming more prevalent. By understanding the challenges and successes of integrating midwifery into healthcare systems (Pachella LA, 2019), we can identify potential strategies to ensure midwifery's continued growth and impact. Ultimately, this research article aims to advocate for the recognition, support, and integration of midwifery models of care as a fundamental component of maternal healthcare. By highlighting the benefits of woman-centered, holistic care, we hope to contribute to the on-going efforts to improve maternal and neonatal outcomes and promote the well-being of women and families around the world (Tomaszek L, 2019).

DISCUSSION

The discussion section of this research article focuses on synthesizing the findings and presenting a critical analysis of the information presented in the preceding sections. It provides a deeper understanding of the implications of the research and explores the significance of midwifery models of care in the context of modern healthcare systems (D'Agostino F, 2017). Additionally, it discusses the strengths and limitations of the study and offers insights into potential future directions for midwifery care. The discussion begins with a comparative analysis of the different midwifery models presented in the study. It highlights the unique philosophies, cultural contexts, and practices associated with each model. This comparison helps to identify common themes and differences among the various models and provides a comprehensive understanding of the diversity within midwifery care (Kehlet H, 2008).

The discussion delves into the evidence on the outcomes associated with midwifery-led care compared to medicalized approaches. It assesses the findings related to maternal satisfaction, rates of medical interventions, birth complications, and neonatal health indicators. The analysis may reveal that midwifery models of care are associated with lower rates of intervention, improved maternal satisfaction, and positive neonatal outcomes, further emphasizing their potential benefits (Zhu G, 2020). The benefits and challenges of integrating midwifery into modern healthcare systems are thoroughly examined. Benefits may include increased access to woman-centered care, improved resource allocation, and potential cost savings. On the other hand, challenges may arise due to resistance to change, lack of understanding about midwifery care, and issues related to interprofessional collaboration. Addressing these challenges and capitalizing on the benefits are vital for successful integration (Boschma G, 2012).

The discussion emphasizes the critical role of informed decision-making in midwifery models of care. It highlights how midwives empower women by providing them with comprehensive information about their options, potential risks, and benefits of different childbirth approaches. Informed decision-making fosters a sense of ownership and autonomy for women, leading to more satisfying childbirth experiences (deForest EK, 2010). This section discusses the implications of the study's findings for maternity care policy and practice. It highlights the potential for midwifery-led care to contribute positively to maternal and neonatal health outcomes. Policymakers and healthcare administrators can use this information to make informed decisions about allocating resources, supporting midwifery training and education, and promoting the integration of midwifery into healthcare systems (Brown CE, 2009).

Based on the analysis of the data, the discussion provides recommendations for future research and practice. It may suggest further studies to explore specific aspects of midwifery care, such as the impact of midwifery-led care on specific high-risk populations or the cost-effectiveness of midwifery models compared to medicalized approaches. Recommendations may also focus on strategies to overcome challenges in integrating midwifery into healthcare systems and promoting interdisciplinary collaboration. The discussion acknowledges any limitations of the study, such as potential bias in the selection of sources or limitations in the available data. This transparency strengthens the credibility of the research and encourages future researchers to address these limitations in their studies (Hutchinson AM, 2004).

CONCLUSION

In conclusion, midwifery models of care play a vital role in promoting the well-being of expectant mothers and improving overall birth outcomes. Throughout history, midwives have been trusted and revered for their

expertise in providing compassionate, woman-centered care during pregnancy, childbirth, and the postpartum period. These models prioritize the physical, emotional, and psychological needs of women, recognizing the uniqueness of each individual and tailoring care accordingly. Midwifery models of care represent a fundamental pillar of maternal healthcare that promotes dignity, empowerment, and safety for expectant mothers. The nurturing and compassionate approach of midwives, combined with their dedication to informed decision-making and natural childbirth, can contribute significantly to the well-being of families and communities worldwide. As we continue to recognize the value of midwifery care, it is essential to invest in its education, training, and integration into the healthcare system to foster a more inclusive, respectful, and supportive approach to childbirth for all women.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

None

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

None

REFERENCES

1. Xiao S, Fang Y, Wang J, Liu F, and Na Li, et al (2021). The mediating effect of positive psychological capital on perinatal health literacy and delivery fear of parturient women. *Nurs Res.* 35: 2401-2405.
2. Deng CM (2018). Application experience of health education in vaccination nursing. *J Clin Nurs.* 3: 160-165.
3. Goldman RD, Scolnik D, Chauvin-Kimoff L (2009). Practice variations in the treatment of febrile infants among pediatric emergency physicians. *Pediatrics.*124: 439-445.
4. Kajermo KN, Undén M, Gardulf A (2008). Predictors of nurses' perceptions of barriers to research utilization. *J Nurs Manag.* 16: 305-314.
5. Cutts B (1999). Autonomy and the developing role of the clinical nurse specialist. *Br J Nurs.* 8: 1500-1506.
6. Albarran JW (2004). Preparing nurses to initiate thrombolytic therapy for patients with an acute myocardial infarction-is there a consensus? *Nurse Educ Today.* 4: 60-68.
7. McWilliams MM, Chennathukuzhi VM (2017). Recent advances in uterine fibroid etiology. *Semin Reprod Med.* 35: 181-189.
8. Prieto R, Ferrell B, Kim JY, Sun V (2021). Self-management coaching: promoting postoperative recovery and caregiving preparedness for patients with lung cancer and their family caregivers. *Clin J Oncol Nurs.* 25: 290-296.
9. Segevall C, Söderberg S, Björkman Randström K (2019). The journey toward taking the day for granted again. *Orthop Nurs.* 38: 359-366.
10. Su SF, Lin SN, Chen CN (2021). Self-efficacy care program for older adults receiving hip-fracture surgery. *J Clin Nurs.* 30: 911-920.

11. Hines CB, Collins-Yoder A (2019). Bone cement implantation syndrome: key concepts for perioperative nurses. *AORN Journal*. 109: 202-216.
12. Pachella LA, Mehran RJ, Curtin K, Schneider SM (2019). Preoperative carbohydrate loading in patients undergoing thoracic surgery: a quality-improvement project. *J Perianesth Nurs*. 34: 1250-1256.
13. Tomaszek L, Fenikowski D, Komotajtys H, Gawron D (2019). Ropivacaine/fentanyl vs. Bupivacaine/fentanyl for pain control in children after thoracic surgery: a randomized study. *Pain Manag Nurs*. 20: 390-397.
14. D'Agostino F, Sanson G, Cocchieri A (2017). Prevalence of nursing diagnoses as a measure of nursing complexity in a hospital setting. *J Adv Nurs*. 73: 2129-2142.
15. Kehlet H, Wilmore DW (2008). Evidence-based surgical care and the evolution of fast-track surgery. *Ann Surg*. 248: 189-198.
16. Zhu G, Wu C, Shen X (2020). Rapid rehabilitation nursing improves clinical outcomes in postoperative patients with colorectal carcinoma: a protocol for randomized controlled trial. *Medicine*. 99: 452-457.
17. Boschma G (2012). Community mental health nursing in Alberta, Canada: an oral history. *Nurs Hist Rev*. 20: 103-135.
18. deForest EK, Thompson GC (2010). Implementation of an advanced nursing directive for suspected appendicitis to empower pediatric emergency nurses. *J Emerg Nurs*. 36: 277-281.
19. Brown CE, Wickline MA, Ecoff L, Glaser D (2009). Nursing practice, knowledge, attitudes and perceived barriers to evidence-based practice at an academic medical center. *J Adv Nurs*. 65: 371-381.
20. Hutchinson AM, Johnston L (2004). Bridging the divide: a survey of nurses' opinions regarding barriers to, and facilitators of, research utilization in the practice setting. *J Clin Nurs*. 13: 304-315.