Full Length Research Paper

Mathematical modelling of gas turbine diagnosis

Tolumoye J. Ajoko and Emmanuel M. Adigio*

Department of Mechanical/Marine Engineering, Niger Delta University, Wilberforce Island, Bayelsa State, Nigeria

Accepted October 16, 2012

Intelligent diagnostic systems for gas turbines help avoid excessive outages and costly component replacement by calling for corrective action before problems have time to become failures. Various prognostics and health monitoring technologies have been developed that aid in the detection and classification of developing system faults. This paper presents a mathematical approach, which could be useful to the machine operator, to evaluate the performance of the gas turbine when the necessary diagnostic or simulation software is not available. The mathematical model was validated on a turbine, SK30 GT. Results show that the model can be used for performance evaluation of gas turbines, to reduce unplanned down time.

Keywords: Turbine, turbine diagnosis, fouling, modeling, maintenance and system faults.

INTRODUCTION

The electrical output and the thermal efficiency are important features for users of gas turbines. These features are normally determined by measurement of the gas path parameters such as the temperatures, pressures, fuel flow and engine speed of the turbine components, including the compressor, burner and the turbine blades. In the other hand, the operator's interest is to understand its behavior after on-line service for a period of time.

Intelligent diagnostic systems for gas turbines help avoid excessive outages and costly component replacement by calling for corrective action before problems have time to become failures. Various prognostics and health monitoring technologies have been developed that aid in the detection and classification of developing system faults (Lazzaretto and Toffolo, 2001; Kacprzynski et al., 2001). The ability to detect and isolate impending faults or to predict the future condition of a component or subsystem based on its current diagnostic state and available operating data is currently a high priority research topic (Chen et al., 1994; Pinelli et al., 2012).

In recent times, studies have been carried out to evaluate the off design point performance of gas turbines to assess and predict the life span of the engine using simulation software and the parameters influencing this process (Zwebek and Pilidis, 2004; Khosravy-el-Hossani and Dorosti, 2009). As a result of this, diagnostics and simulation codes are put in place to give correct prediction of the nature of the engine on a thermo-fluid dynamic basis (Zwebek and Pilidis, 2004).

Some researchers (Kacprzynski et al., 2001) reported that gas turbine component fouling could be from blockage of the gas path by deposits, or erosion due to corrosion of the blades, like the compressors, which generally affects observable parameters such as the flow capacities and their isentropic efficiency. Hence, in order to maintain high reliability and availability, the values from the measurement of these sensitive parameters can be used to identify, quantify and isolate faulty components.

This paper presents a mathematical approach, which could be useful to the machine operator, to evaluate the performance gas turbines when the necessary diagnostic software is not available.

MATHEMATICAL ANALYSIS

Design Point Performance Specification

The engine design point (DP) parameters are the main focus of gas turbine engine from the manufacturer. These configurations are selected to march the performance specification of a given engine component. Hence, the definition of the DP parameters of these studies is

^{*}Corresponding Author E-mails: johntolumoye@yahoo.co.uk and emadigio@yahoo.com



Figure 1. Schematic drawing of gas turbine; SK30 gas turbine model.

 Table 1. Gas Turbine engine specification (Rolls-Royce).

| S/N | Specifications | SK30 GT | |
|-----|---------------------------|----------|--|
| 1 | Compressor Pressure Ratio | 11 | |
| 2 | Exhaust Mass Flow | 93.6kg/s | |
| 3 | Gross Electrical Power | 20MW | |
| 4 | Thermal Efficiency | 40% | |
| 5 | Exhaust Temperature | 415°C | |
| 6 | Turbine Entry Temperature | - | |
| 7 | Number of Spool(s) | 1 | |

necessary before analyzing the corresponding operating conditions. The case study is on an industrial gas turbine (SK 30) at Kolo-Creek in Bayelsa, Nigeria. The specifications (Rolls Royce) are as follows:

Design Point Calculations

The essence of calculating the design point performance of a gas turbine is to provide prior information on the design and assembly of various engine components and evaluation of the engine geometry. Figure 1 is the schematic diagram of the turbine, SK30-GT. (Table 1)

The governing equations for the design point calculation are;

Intake

$$P_{1} = P_{2} = P_{amb}$$

$$T_{1} = T_{2} = T_{amb}$$

$$W_{1} = W_{2} = W_{3} = W_{cold}$$
Compressor

$$P_{3} = P_{2} \times (PR_{c})$$

$$\eta_{is.comp} = \frac{(PR_{c})^{\frac{\gamma-1}{\gamma}} - 1}{\frac{T_{2}}{T_{1}} - 1}$$

$$CW = W_{cold} \times CP_{cold} (T_{3} - T_{2})$$

$$Gombustion Chamber$$

$$\eta_{th} = \frac{UW}{Q_{CC}}$$

$$FF = FF = \frac{Q_{CC}}{FCV}$$
8

$$\eta_{is.turb.} = \frac{1 - \frac{T_5}{T_4}}{1 - \left(\frac{P_5}{P_4}\right)^{\frac{\gamma - 1}{\gamma}}}$$
 11

 $TW = W_{hot} \times CP_{hot} (T_4 - T_5)$ 12 Other relationships are

$$SFC = \frac{FF}{UW}$$

$$NDMF = \frac{W\sqrt{T}}{P}$$
13

$$CW = TW$$
 15

In order to reduce large computations; a number of parameters (Table 2) are fixed, with reference to the location of the turbines. Other values (Table 3) are calculated from the governing equations (Equation 1 to 15).

| S/N | Parameters | Units | SK 30 Model |
|-----|-----------------------------|--------|-------------|
| 1 | Ambient temperature | ٩K | 288.15 |
| 2 | Ambient pressure | kPa | 101.33 |
| 3 | FCV | kJ/kg | 43,124 |
| 4 | Comp. Isen. Eff. | (%) | 86 |
| 5 | Turb. Isen.Eff. | (%) | 86 |
| 6 | C _P for cold air | J/kg/K | 1005 |
| 7 | C_P for hot air | J/kg/K | 1150 |
| 8 | γ for cold air | | 1.4 |
| 9 | γ for hot air | | 4/3 |
| 10 | CC pres. loss | (%) | 5 |
| 11 | Exh. Pres. loss | (%) | 1 |

 Table 2. Fixed parameters for turbines.

Table 3. Fixed parameters for turbines (design point).

| S/N | Parameters | Units | SK 30 Model | |
|-----|--------------------------------------|-------|-------------|--------|
| 1 | | | Values | NDMF |
| 2 | Comp. inlet temp. (T1) | чК | | |
| 3 | Comp. inlet pres. (P1) | KPa | | |
| 4 | Comp. outlet temp. (T ₂) | ۴K | 288.15 | 15.486 |
| 5 | Comp. outlet pres. (P2) | KPa | 101.33 | 15.486 |
| 6 | Turb. inlet temp. (T ₃) | ۴K | 617.82 | 2.061 |
| 7 | Turb. inlet pres. (P ₃) | KPa | 1114.63 | 2.061 |
| 8 | Turb. outlet temp. (T ₄) | ۴K | 1158.34 | 3.008 |
| 9 | Turb. outlet pres. (P ₄) | KPa | 1058.9 | 3.008 |
| 10 | Turb. outlet temp. (T ₅) | °К | 688 | 23.989 |
| 11 | Turb. outlet pres. (P ₅) | KPa | 102.34 | 23.989 |
| 12 | Ther. Eff. | (%) | 40 | |
| 13 | Shaft power | KW | 20000 | |
| 14 | Fuel flow | Kg/s | 1.16 | |
| 15 | SFC | | 5.8 | |

Off Design Point Calculations

The design point parameters such as Q_{cc} , *CW*, and *TW* are equal to the corresponding parameters of the off design point at any section of the gas turbine engine flow path. Such relationship is known as connectivity or equating process. Hence, the parameter for the off design point (ODP) performance of the gas turbine engine is calculated from the governing equations below (Equations 16 to 19);

$$\left(\frac{T_{IN}}{T_{OUT}}\right)_{DP} = \left(\frac{T_{IN}}{T_{OUT}}\right)_{ODP}$$
 17

$$\left(\frac{P_{IN}}{P_{OUT}}\right)_{DP} = \left(\frac{P_{IN}}{P_{OUT}}\right)_{ODP}$$
 18

$$\left(\frac{W_{Cold}}{W_{Hot}}\right)_{DP} = \left(\frac{W_{Cold}}{W_{Hot}}\right)_{ODP}$$
 19

Each station is numbered (Li et al., 2005), and the thermodynamic parameters is determined based on the upstream component operating performance of the engine. Using an iterative method, the above equations were used to determine the non dimensional mass flow rate which can mainly be affected by fouling of the flow paths. The error can be detected by equating the design point mass flow of the inlet station of the engine to the corresponding parameters of the off design point, e.g. Equation (20) below,

$$\left(NDMF_4\right)_{DP} = \left(\frac{W_4\sqrt{T_4}}{P_4}\right)_{ODP} \quad 20$$

In order to provide a tool for the study of fouling or erosion in the gas flow path, a reference value known as

| Parameters | Percentage Reduction | | | | | |
|---------------------|----------------------|----------|----------|----------|----------|--|
| | 1% | 2% | 3% | 4% | 5% | |
| P2 (KPa | 102.35 | 103.4 | 104.46 | 105.55 | 106.66 | |
| T ₂ (%) | 289.11 | 290.09 | 291.08 | 292.08 | 293.09 | |
| P₃ (KPa | 1112.93 | 1111.06 | 1109.17 | 1107.27 | 1105.35 | |
| T₃ (°K) | 619.88 | 621.97 | 624.1 | 626.24 | 628.42 | |
| P4 (KPa | 1057.29 | 1055.51 | 1053.71 | 1051.9 | 1050.08 | |
| T₄ (TET) (⁰K) | 1158.34 | 1158.34 | 1158.34 | 1158.34 | 1158.34 | |
| P₅ (KPa | 102.18 | 102.01 | 101.84 | 101.66 | 101.49 | |
| T₅ (°K) | 687.22 | 686.42 | 685.62 | 684.8 | 683.98 | |
| FF (kg/s) | 1.158 | 1.156 | 1.154 | 1.152 | 1.150 | |
| Sh. Power (KW) | 19949.26 | 19897.52 | 19845.18 | 19792.24 | 19738.68 | |
| SFC | 5.8105 | 5.8104 | 5.8158 | 5.8213 | 5.827 | |
| Ther. Eff. | 39.95 | 39.91 | 39.87 | 39.83 | 39.8 | |

Table 4. ODP values for compressor fouling, reduction of inlet flow capacity, SK30 GT.

Table 5. ODP Values for compressor fouling, reduction of isentropic efficiency,SK30 GT.

| Parameters | | Perce | ntage Redu | ction | |
|---------------------------|---------|---------|------------|---------|---------|
| | 1% | 2% | 3% | 4% | 5% |
| P2 (KPa) | 101.09 | 100.88 | 100.67 | 100.47 | 100.26 |
| T ₂ (°K) | 288.17 | 288.17 | 288.17 | 288.17 | 288.17 |
| P₃ (KPa | 1111.95 | 1109.69 | 1107.42 | 1105.14 | 1102.86 |
| T ₃ (⁰K) | 617.84 | 617.84 | 617.84 | 617.84 | 617.84 |
| P₄ (KPa | 1058.36 | 1054.2 | 1052.04 | 1049.88 | 1047.72 |
| T ₄ (TET) (°K) | 1158.34 | 1158.34 | 1158.34 | 1158.34 | 1158.34 |
| P₅ (KPa | 102.09 | 101.89 | 101.67 | 101.47 | 101.26 |
| T₅(°K) | 691.33 | 694.16 | 697.01 | 699.88 | 702.78 |
| Fuel Flow (Kg/s) | 1.1572 | 1.15484 | 1.15248 | 1.15011 | 1.14774 |
| Sh. Power (KW) | 19594.3 | 19251.5 | 18907.3 | 18561.7 | 18214.9 |
| SFC (kgs⁻¹/KW) | 5.906 | 5.999 | 6.095 | 6.196 | 6.301 |
| Ther. Eff. (%) | 39.27 | 38.66 | 38.04 | 37.42 | 36.8 |

the datum working line, of the design point performance of the two engines are established, calculated from the specifications of the clean engines. Reports (Lakshminarasimha et al., 1974; Zwebek and Pilidis, 2004) indicate that fouling leads to the reduction of the inlet flow capacity and the isentropic efficiency, while erosion leads to increase in the inlet flow capacity. In this report the effect of the changes in parameters was studied by varying the datum mass flow rate and the isentropic efficiency from 1 to 5%.

RESULTS

Using the relationships provided from the governing equations and by iteration, the engine parameters were calculated while varying the non dimensional mass flow. Results are presented in the tables (Tables 3-7)

DISCUSSION

The deterioration result of compressor and turbine fouling of SK30 GT is illustrated graphically on Figures 2 - 5 below. This star plot is produced by employing Equation 21, where the performance deviation is a dependant of the real and degraded parameters. The standard parameters are the performance parameters of design point of the engine and the parameters calculated as a result of degradation are the off design point performance parameters. Following a strategic assumption of compressor fouling up to (5%) for the design point of the gas turbine, that is, on reducing flow capacity and isentropic efficiency, the deviation from the norm is,

Deviation =
$$\frac{\text{Re } al - \text{deg } raded}{\text{Re } al} X100\%$$
 21

Figure 2 and 3 represent the reduction of flow capacity

| Parameters | Percentage Reduction | | | | | |
|----------------------|----------------------|----------|----------|----------|----------|--|
| | 1% | 2% | 3% | 4% | 5% | |
| P2 (KPa | 100.32 | 99.304 | 98.291 | 97.278 | 96.264 | |
| T2(°K) | 285.6 | 283.01 | 280.35 | 277.65 | 274.88 | |
| P₃ (KPa | 1103.49 | 1092.35 | 1081.2 | 1070.05 | 1058.91 | |
| T ₃ (°K) | 612.36 | 606.79 | 601.1 | 595.3 | 589.37 | |
| P4 (KPa | 1048.32 | 1037.73 | 1027.14 | 1016.55 | 1005.96 | |
| T₄ (TET) (°K) | 1158.34 | 1158.34 | 1158.34 | 1158.34 | 1158.34 | |
| P₅(KPa | 101.32 | 100.29 | 99.27 | 98.25 | 97.22 | |
| T ₅ (°K) | 674.32 | 660.77 | 647.35 | 634.07 | 620.93 | |
| FF (kg/s) | 1.1484 | 1.1368 | 1.1252 | 1.1136 | 1.102 | |
| Sh. Power (KW) | 21526.12 | 23009.11 | 24448.56 | 25844.90 | 27198.59 | |
| SFC | 5.335 | 4.941 | 4.602 | 4.309 | 4.052 | |
| Ther. Eff. | 43.47 | 46.93 | 50.39 | 53.82 | 57.23 | |

Table 6. ODP Values for Turbine fouling, reduction of inlet flow capacity, SK30 GT.

Table 7. ODP values for turbine fouling, reduction of isentropic efficiency, SK30 GT.

| Parameters | Percentage Reduction | | | | | |
|-----------------------------|----------------------|----------|----------|----------|----------|--|
| | 1% | 2% | 3% | 4% | 5% | |
| P ₂ (KPa) | 101.09 | 100.88 | 100.67 | 100.47 | 100.26 | |
| T2(°K) | 288.17 | 288.17 | 288.17 | 288.17 | 288.17 | |
| P₃ (KPa | 1111.95 | 1109.69 | 1107.42 | 1105.14 | 1102.86 | |
| T ₃ (⁰K) | 617.84 | 617.84 | 617.84 | 617.84 | 617.84 | |
| P₄ (KPa | 1058.36 | 1054.2 | 1052.04 | 1049.88 | 1047.72 | |
| T ₄ (TET) (°K) | 1158.34 | 1158.34 | 1158.34 | 1158.34 | 1158.34 | |
| P₅ (KPa | 102.09 | 101.89 | 101.67 | 100.47 | 100.26 | |
| T₅(°K) | 691.33 | 694.16 | 697.01 | 699.88 | 702.78 | |
| Fuel Flow (Kg/s) | 1.1572 | 1.15484 | 1.15248 | 1.15011 | 1.14774 | |
| Sh. Power (KW) | 19594.34 | 19251.47 | 18907.27 | 18561.73 | 18214.85 | |
| SFC (kgs ⁻¹ /KW) | 5.906 | 5.999 | 6.095 | 6.196 | 6.301 | |
| Ther. Eff. (%) | 39.27 | 38.66 | 38.04 | 37.42 | 36.8 | |



Figure 2. Effect of flow capacity variation on performance, SK30 GT compressor.



Figure 3. Performance change Vs Isentropic Efficiency Reduction of SK30 GT compressor.



Figure 4. Flow Capacity Reduction Effect on SK30 GT Turbine.



Figure 5. Performance change vs isentropic efficiency Reduction of SK30 GT turbine.

and isentropic efficiency respectively for a SK30 gas compressor. It is observed that the effect of fouling gave

rise to an increase in fuel flow by 0.847% and 1.396%, shown in Figures 2 and 3 compared to their design points

and a drastic reduction of shaft power and thermal efficiency, from 1.307% to 0.511% shown on Figure 2 and from 2.108% to 0.77% on Figure 3, all compared to their design points.

Turbine fouling results were not different from the effect on the compressor. This is shown in Figures 4 and 5. Apparently, all the measurable parameters shown in figures deviated from their DP values and the most affected parameters are the fuel flow, shaft power, SFC and thermal efficiency as (1.057%, 8.926%, -8.638% and 8%) shown in Figure 5.

However, the TET (T_4) was chosen as the handle of engine in all the cases. It was also seen that the highest deviation occurred with the fuel flow, shaft power, SFC and thermal efficiency, i.e. 1.057%, 8.926%, -8.638% and 8% respectively shown on Figure 5.

CONCLUSION

The deterioration test of GT components using mathematical analysis was justifiable due to the following reasons as confirmed in the open literature. Established results reveal the effect of fouling /erosion on industrial GT components.

The relevance of this application (use of mathematical analysis for GT deterioration test) in the study can be exposed to GT operators who do not have accessed to GT simulations and diagnostics software.

This application can be extended to other models of turbines to provide turbine safety to users in remote areas.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

We acknowledge our colleague and former head of department Dr. P.P. Jombo for his patience and unrelenting encouragement.

REFERENCES

- Chen W, Meher-Homji CB, Mistree F (1994). Compromise: An Effective Approach for Condition-Based Maintenance Management of Gas Turbines, Eng. Opt., 22:185-201
- Kacprzynski GJ, Gumina M, Roemer MJ, Caguiat DE, Galie TR, McGroarty JJ (2001). A Prognostic Modeling Approach for Predicting Recurring Maintenance for Shipboard Propulsion Proceedings of ASME Turbo Expo 2001 4-7 June 2001, New Orleans, LA USA, 2001-GT-0218
- Khosravy-el-Hossani M, Dorosti Q (2009). Improvement of Gas Turbine Performance Test in Combine Cycle, World Academy of Science, Engineering and Technology, 58:383-386.
- Lakshminarasimha AN, Boyce MA, Meher-Homji CB (1994). Modelling and Analysis of Gas Turbine Performance Deterioration", ASME J. Eng. Gas Turbines Power.116
- Lazzaretto A, Toffolo A (2001). Analytical and Neural Network Models for Gas Turbine Design and Off-Design Simulation, Int. J. Applied Thermodynamics, 4(4):173-182, ISSN 1301-9724
- Li YG, Pilidis P, Newby MA (2005). An Adaptation Approach Gas Turbine Design Point Performance Simulation", ASM, E Paper 2005-GT-68140, 2005, 5:95–105.
- Pinelli M, Spina PR, Venturini M (2012). Gas Turbine Health State Determination: Methodology Approach and Field Application, Hindawi Publishing Corporation Int. J. Rotating Machinery, Article ID 142173, 14, 2012, doi:10.1155/2012/142173
- Rolls-Royce (2011). RB211 GT61 and SK30 GT Industrial Gas Turbine Engine Specification", available at http://www.rolls-royce.com, (Accessed on the 23rd May, 2011).
- Zwebek I, Pilidis P (2004). Degradation Effects on Combined Cycle Power Plant Performance – Part III: Gas and Steam Turbine Component Degradation Effect", ASME J. Eng. Gas Turbines and Power, 126:306-315.