

Journal of Medicine and Medical Sciences Vol. 5(2) pp. 37-40, February 2014 DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.14303/jmms.2014.017 Available online http://www.interesjournals.org/JMMS Copyright © 2014 International Research Journals

# Full Length Research Paper

# Lower ureteral calculi; a bothering problem; is there any non invasive therapy to get rid of them? Role of tamsolusin

Sohail Yousif Arain, Syed Asad Ali\*, Muhammad Mairaj, Akmal Jamal Siddiqui

Department of Surgery, Muhammad Medical College Hospital, Mirpurkhas and Liaquat University of Medical & Health Sciences Jamshoro, Sindh, Pakistan

\*Corresponding author's e-mail: <a href="mailto:sasadalishah@gmail.com">sasadalishah@gmail.com</a>

## Abstract

There has been a paradigm shift in the management of ureteral calculi in the last decade with the introduction of new less invasive methods, such as ureterorenoscopy and extracorporeal shock wave lithotripsy (ESWL). Recent studies have reported excellent results with medical expulsive therapy (MET) for distal ureteral calculi, both in terms of stone expulsion and control of ureteral colic pain. We conducted a comparative study in between watchful waiting and MET with tamsulosin. We conducted a comparative study in between watchful waiting (Group I) and MET with tamsulosin (Group II) in 60 patients, with a follow up of 28 days. Statistical Analysis was done using SPSS Version 17.0. Group II showed a statistically significant advantage in terms of the stone expulsion rate. The mean number of episodes of pain, mean days to stone expulsion and mean amount of analgesic dosage used were statistically significantly lower in Group II (P value is 0.007, 0.01 and 0.007, respectively) as compared to Group I. It is concluded that MET should be considered for uncomplicated distal ureteral calculi before ureteroscopy or extracorporeal lithotripsy. Tamsulosin has been found to increase and hasten stone expulsion rates, decrease acute attacks by acting as a spasmolytic, reduces mean days to stone expulsion and decreases analgesic dose usage.

Keywords: Ureteric Calculi, Expulsion Therapy, Tamsolusin

# **INTRODUCTION**

Many minimally invasive interventional (e.g., ESWL and ureteroscopy) as well as expectant (watchful waiting) treatment exist for the management of lower ureteric calculi. But the choice of the ideal method to be taken up largely depend on the type of equipment available, type and size of stone, needs of the patient and the skills of the surgeon (Finlayan and Ackermann, 1989). The stone burden remains the primary factor in deciding the appropriate treatment for a patient with ureteral calculi (Extracorporeal shockwave lithotripsy (ESWL) and endourology: An ideal combination for the treatment of kidney stones, World). Where a failed expectant treatment may well be complicated with hydronephrosis, deranged renal function or urosepsis, interventional

techniques are not always free of complications and failures.

Recent studies have reported excellent results relating to medical expulsive therapy (MET) for distal ureteral calculi, in terms of stone expulsion and control of ureteral colic pain, using drugs (e.g., nifedipine and prednisolone) that can modulate the function of the ureter obstructed by the stone. Recently, a a1A receptor blocker to be used in this regard is tamsulosin. Most of the work on the efficacy of tamsulosin in lower ureteral calculi expulsion has been done in western affluent countries with variable results. The disease spectrum in a developing country like ours, is different from that in developed countries, mainly because of delay in diagnosis, delay in investigations and lack of awareness

Table: 1	I. Ur	eteric	Stone	Character	istic	(n=60)

Ureteric stones characteristics	Values / Percentage Result	
Right Ureteric calculi	42 (70%)	
Left Ureteric calculi	18 (30%)	
Smallest Ureteric stone	4 mm	
Largest Ureteric stone	10 mm	
Average range of stone size	5-8 mm (81.66%)	
Mean stone size		
Group – I	6.33 ± 1.47 Range (4-7)	
Mean stone size		
Group – II	6.70 ± 1.60 Range (4-10)	

which tend to modify the outcome in case of ureteral stones or for that matter any disease. More so, advanced interventional facilities in this part of the world are not easily available. A prospective study was thus planned to compare the tamsulosin group with a control group in our setup to evaluate the efficacy of tamsulosin for lower ureteral calculi expulsion within a few days without the need for hospitalization, common endoscopic treatment or shock wave lithotripsy.

## **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

A prospective randomized controlled study was conducted in the Department of Surgery and Urology, on OPD (outpatient department) basis. Sixty consecutive patients older than 18 years of age, presenting with a diagnosis of a symptomatic, unilateral, solitary lower ureteral stones (stone present at the level of ischial spine or below) proved either on a skiagram or sonography of the KUB (Kidney-Ureter-Bladder) with size ≥4 mm and ≤10 mm (in major axis) were included in this study.

All cases having active urinary tract infection, fever, acute renal failure, chronic renal failure, history of urinary surgery or endoscopic treatment, uncorrected distal obstruction and marked hydronephrosis were excluded from the study.

Prior to study, complete haemogram, blood urea, serum creatinine, urine complete examination, urine culture sensitivity, skiagram KUB after preparation and or sonography KUB were carried out on all patients enrolled for the study.

Total 60 symptomatic cases of lower ureteric stones were divided randomly into a control (group I) and a study group (group II).

Group I (Control) - The 30 patients included in this group was advised high fluid intake along with analgesic (tablet Diclofenac 50 mg)/spasmolytic (tablet hyoscine butylbromide 10mg) as on demand during the study period.

Group II (Study) - The 30 patients in this group were given Tab. Tamsulosin 0.4mg OD, in morning, half hour after breakfast for a maximum period of 28 days or till

spontaneous passage of stone (which ever was earlier). High fluid intake and analgesic (tablet Diclofenac 50mg)/spasmolytic (tablet hyoscine butylbromide 10 mg) were given on demand during the study period.

The patients were followed up with a weekly sonography KUB and fortnightly X-ray KUB and final evaluation was done after completion of four weeks. Successful results were defined as complete stone passage and failure was considered if:

- 1. The patient failed to pass the stone at the end of 28 days.
- 2. Uncontrolled pain and/or uroseptic fever leading to hospitalization during study period.

# **RESULTS**

The study comprised of 60 patients. The youngest patient was 20 years of age while the oldest was 60 years of age. The mean age was 35.10 years.

The smallest stone was 4 mm in size while the largest stone was 10 mm in size. Majority of patients (81.66%) were having stones of size in the range of 5-8 mm. The mean stone size was  $6.33 \pm 1.47$  (range 4-9) for Group I and  $6.70 \pm 1.60$  (range 4-10) for Group II (Table 1)

There were 42 patients with right ureteral calculus and 18 with left ureteral calculus. There was an equal distribution of patients with right ureteral calculus and left ureteral calculus in both the groups (Table 1).

A stone expulsion rate of 70% (21 out of 30 patients) was observed for Group I and 90% (27 out of 30 patients) in Group II. Group II showed a statistically significant advantage in terms of the stone expulsion rate (P=0.04) as determined by chi-square test. The chi-square value for the test was 3.75 (Table 2).

In Group I, 8 patients (27%) passed their stones within 7 days of treatment and 18 patients (60%) passed their stones within 14 days of treatment, while in Group II, 15 patients (50%) passed their stones within 7 days of treatment and 26 patients (87%) passed their stones within 14 days of treatment. As evident from chi-square test, Group II showed a statistically significant advantage

Table: 2. Stone Expulsion Rate and Time

	G – I (30)	G – II (30)
Stone Expulsion Rate	21 Patients (70%)	27 Patients (90%)
Stone Expulsion Time		
Within 7 days	08 Patients (27%)	15 Patients (50%)
Within 14 days	18 Patients (60%)	26 Patients (87%)

Table: 3. Pain Episodes and use of analgesic

	G – I (30)	G – II (30)
No pain Episode (n=24)	09 Patients (37.5%)	15 Patients (62.5%)
No use of analgesic	09 Patients (37.5%)	15 Patients (62.5%)
Mean amount of Dyclophenic sodium	63.33 ± 55.6 Range (0-200)	30.00 ± 33.73 Range (0-100)

in terms of expulsion time (in days) with a p value of 0.01 and chi-square value of 6.18 (Table 2).

The total number of patients with no episodes of pain during the study were 24, out of which 9 patients were in Group I while 15 patients were in Group II, showing significantly (P= 0.007) less number pain episodes in Group II as determined on the basis of independent 't' test.

Total 24 out of 60 patients did not use any analgesic medications during the trial. Only one patient required 200mg of diclofenac (each tablet 50mg) during a trial period of 28 days. Nine patients did not use any analgesics in Group I, while 15 patients did not used any analgesics in Group II. Mean amount of diclofenac sodium (in mg) was  $63.33 \pm 55.60$  (range 0-200) per patient in Group I and  $30.00 \pm 33.73$  (range 0-100) patients in Group II (Table 3).

The mean number of episodes of pain, mean days to stone expulsion and mean amount of analgesic dosage used were statistically significantly lower in Group II (P value 0.007, 0.01 and 0.007, respectively) as compared to Group I (Table 3).

None of the patients underwent hospitalization or had emergency room visits (Table 3). All the patients who were not stone free at the end of 28 days were successfully treated with ureteroscopy.

There was no statistically significant difference between the groups, with respect to age, sex, stone size and stone localization (right/left) in the present study and any other similar studies performed previously.

# **DISCUSSION**

Advances in endourological techniques and instrumentation have largely diverted the management of ureteral stones by open surgeries to either minimal invasive methods like ESWL and ureterorenoscopic

removal of stones or to watchful waiting. The minimal invasive therapies for ureteral stone are now the accepted gold standards. Nevertheless, these techniques are not risk-free, are quite expensive (Lotan et al., 2002) and are not widely available in the developing countries.

Watchful waiting is appropriate for small stones that are not causing acute symptoms and that are likely to pass spontaneously, (Russell et al., 2004) although it may occur at the expense of some discomfort to the patient. Spontaneous passage depends upon stone size, shape, location and associated ureteral edema (which is likely to depend on the length of time that a stone has not progressed). Ureteral calculi 4-5 mm in size have a 40-50% chance of spontaneous passage. In contrast, calculi >6 mm have less than 5% chance of spontaneous passage. Majority of stones that pass do so within a 6 weeks period after the onset of symptoms (Marshall, 2004). Smaller, more distal and right sided stones are more likely to pass spontaneously (Ueno et al., 1977; Miller and Kane, 1999). However, the expectant approach may result in complications, such as infection of the urinary tract, hydronephrosis and renal function defects (Miller and Kane, 1999). In the present study the mean stone size (in mm) of Group I was 6.33 ± 1.47 with a range of 4-9 mm while it was 6.70 ± 1.60 in case of Group II with a range of 4-10 mm. The P value of mean stone size in mm amongst Group I and Group II was 0.359 (>0.05) and hence not significant with respect to stone passage.

α1D receptors are found in abundance in the detrusor and the intramural part of the ureter. a1A and a1D adrenergic receptors are present more densely in the distal 1/3 of ureter (including intramural part) than other adrenergic receptors. When stimulated, they inhibit the basal tone, peristaltic wave frequency and the ureteral contractions even in the intramural part of lower ureter. a1 antagonists have a crucial impact in spontaneous painless elimination of the stones smaller than 8 mm

located in the uretero-bladder junction (Pricop et al., 2004). They may work on the obstructed ureter by inducing an increase in the intraureteral pressure gradient around the stone, that is, an increase in the urine bolus above the stone (and consequently an increase in intraureteral pressure above the stone) as well as decreased peristalsis below the ureter (and consequently a decrease in intraureteral pressure below the stone) in association with the decrease in basal and micturition pressures even at the bladder neck, thereby an increased chance of stone expulsion. Furthermore, the decreased frequency of phasic peristaltic contractions in the obstructed ureteral tract induced by tamsulosin might determine a decrease in or the absence of the algogenic stimulus (Dellabella et al., 2003).

Cervenakov et al, concluded that the treatment by a1 blockers considerably decreased not only lower urinary tract symptoms (LUTS) but also helped to accelerate the passing of minor calculi from the terminal parts of the ureters of 80.4% of patients. They also suggested that a1 blockers potentiate the spasmoanalgesic action of drugs used in standard methods of treatment (Cervenakov et al., 2002). In the present study, the mean amount of analgesic dosage (in mg) was  $63.33 \pm 55.60$  (range 0-200) in Group I, while the amount was  $30.00 \pm 33.73$  (range 0-100) in Group II with a P value=0.007 (statistically significant).

Dellabella et al, used tamsulosin as a spasmolytic drug during episodes of ureteral colic due to juxtavesical calculi, observed an increased stone expulsion rate and with a decrease in stone expulsion time, the need for hospitalization and endoscopic procedures, and provided particularly good control of colic pain (Dellabella et al., 2003). Addition of tamsulosin to conventional treatment is beneficial in terms of clearance of lower ureteral stones and this effect was more evident for larger stones, especially when combined with shock wave lithotripsy (SWL) (Kupeli et al., 2004). In the present study, the patients in Group I had a mean number of 1.27 episodes of pain with a range of 0-4, while in Group II, the mean number of pain episodes were 0.60 with a range of 0-2 (statistically significant, P=0.007).

Corticosteroid drug in association with tamsulosin seemed to induce more rapid stone expulsion. In addition, tamsulosin alone as MET for distal ureteral calculi had excellent expulsive effectiveness (Dellabella et al., 2005).

Alfa1-blockers decreased the number of ureteral colic episodes and the intensity of pain during spontaneous passage at the lower ureteral calculi. Also, it was beneficial to patients' quality of life (Resim et al., 2005).

## CONCLUSION

It is concluded that MET should be considered for uncomplicated distal ureteral calculi before ureteroscopy

or extracorporeal lithotripsy. Tamsulosin has been found to increase and hasten stone expulsion rates, decrease acute attacks by acting as a spasmolytic, reduces mean days to stone expulsion and decreases analgesic dose usage. Appropriately used it may have substantial fiscal benefits by reducing the number of interventional procedures and the acute attacks too. However, this requires larger prospective randomized controlled trials before its application can be universally recommended.

## **ACKNOWLEDGMENT**

I am highly thankful to all my patients enrolled in this study, and to all my paramedical staff who worked hard in collecting the data for this study.

## **REFERENCES**

- Finlayan B, Ackermann D (1989). Overview of surgical management of urolithiasis with special reference to Lithotripsy. J Urol;141:778-9.
- Extracorporeal shockwave lithotripsy (ESWL) and endourology: An ideal combination for the treatment of kidney stones. World J Urol 1985;3:41-7
- Lotan Y, Gettman MT, Roehrborn CG, Cadeddu JA, Pearle MS (2002).
  Management of ureteral calculi: A cost comparison and decision making analysis. J Urol; 167:1621. [PUBMED] [FULLTEXT] Russell RC, Williams NS, Bulstrode CJ (2004). The kidneys and ureters. Bailey and Love's Short Practice of Surgery. New York: Edward Arnold; 4th ed. p. 1321-33.
- Marshall LS (2004). Urinary stones disease. In: Amend WJ Jr, Barbour S, Baskin LS, Berger TG, Bloom AL, Bretan PN Jr, et al, editors. Smith's Urology. 16th ed. New York: McGraw Hill. p. 256-90.
- Ueno A, Kawamura T, Ogawa A, Takayasu H (1977). Relation of spontaneous passage of ureteral calculi to size. Urology; 10:544. [PUBMED] Miller OF, Kane CJ (1999). Time to stone passage for observed ureteral calculi: A guide for patient education. J Urol 162:688-91. [PUBMED] Pricop C, Novac C, Negru D, liie C, Pricop A, Tanase A (2004). Can selective alpha blockers help the spontaneous passage of the stones located in the uretero bladder junction. Rev Med Chir Soc Med Nat; 108:128-33.
- Dellabella M, Milanese G, Muzzonigro G (2003). Efficacy of tamsulosin in the medical management of juxtavesical ureteral stones. J Urol; 170:2202-5. [PUBMED] Cervenakov I, Fillo J, Mardiak J, Kopecny M, Smirala J, Lepies P (2002). Speedy elimination of ureterolithiasis in lower part of ureters with the alpha 1 blockerstamsulosin. Int J Urol Nephrol; 34:25.
- Kupeli B, Irkilata L, Gurocak S, Tun CL, Kirac M, Karaoglan V et al.? Provide others authors names (2004). Does tamsulosin enhance lower ureteral stone clearance with or without shock wave lithotripsy? Urology; 64:1111-5.
- Dellabella M, Milanese G, Muzzonigro G (2005). Medical expulsive therapy for distal ureterolithiasis: Randomized prospective study on role of corticosteroids used in combination with tamsulosin simplified treatment regimen and health related quality of life. Urology; 66:712-5. [PUBMED] [FULLTEXT] Resim S, Ekerbices H, Cifti A (2005). Effect of tamsulosin on the number and intensity of ureteral colic in patients with lower ureteral calculus. Int J Urol; 12:615-20.

How to cite this article: Arain SY, Ali SA, Mairaj M, Siddiqui AJ (2014). Lower ureteral calculi; a bothering problem; is there any non invasive therapy to get rid of them? Role of tamsolusin. J. Med. Med. Sci. 5(2):37-40