Full Length Research Paper

Identification and intra species delineation of ornamental silver pompano (*Trachinotus blochi,* Lacepede, 1801) with DNA barcodes

M.A. Badhul Haq, D. Mohammed Azarudeen, R. Vignesh, T.T. Ajith Kumar and M. Srinivasan

Centre of Advanced Study in Marine Biology, Faculty of Marine Sciences, Annamalai University Parangipettai, 608 502, Tamilnadu, India

Accepted October 10, 2012

Efficiency of barcoding is decisively dependent upon species description, splitting decreases while the protuberance increases both intraspecific variation and interspecific divergence. In the present study *T.blochi* (silver pompano) was sequenced for its 700 bp region of cytochrome oxidase subunit I (COI) gene to test its efficacy in identifying the species and also to demonstrate its intra species variations within the barcode region. The present study addresses this issue by examining the patterning of COI diversity in the subphylum Vertebrata, the most ancient and structurally diverse group of family Carangidae. The sequences were analyzed for their species identification using Barcode of life database (BOLD's) identification engine. The BOLD's identification engine was also used to draw species level phylogenetic tree. The COI sequences of *T.blochi* from different geographical regions were extracted from NCBI for intraspecies variation analysis. All sequences were aligned using Clustal W. Phylogenetic tree was constructed with bootstrap test with 500 replicates. The barcode profiling studies clearly revealed that the barcode region of *T.blochi* from different waters had high cytosine content whereas guanine content was found least. Interesting results were obtained in case of hypothetical barcode protein profiling, as the percentage of leucine was found higher in barcode proteins.

Keywords: Hypothetical, intra species variations, barcode, Cytochrome oxidase.

INTRODUCTION

Fish are the largest group of vertebrates, which exhibit a remarkable diversity of morphological attributes and biological adaptations (Eschmeyer et al., 1998; Nelson, 2006). Species are typically circumscribed based on the presence of fixed diagnostic morphological characters which distinguish them from other species (Wiens and Servedio, 2000). But for fishes, there are a large number of intraspecific invariants or interspecific overlappings, so fish identification is challenging for taxonomists when facing rich biotas (Jun-Bin Zhang and Robert Hanner, 2011).

The idea of a standardized molecular identification system emerged progressively during the 1990s with the development of PCR-based approaches for species identification. Molecular identification has largely been applied to bacterial studies, microbial biodiversity surveys (Zhou et al., 1997) and routine pathogenic strains diagnose (Maiden et al., 1996; Sugita et al., 1998; Wirth et al., 2006) due to a need for culture independent identification systems (Lise Frezal and Raphael Leblois, 2008). PCR-based methods have also been frequently used in fields related to taxonomy, food and forensic molecular identification (Teletchea et al., 2008) and for identification of eukaryotic pathogens and vectors (e.g. Walton et al., 1999). Several universal systems for molecular-based identification have been used for lower taxa but were not successfully implemented for broader scopes (Lise Frezal and Raphael Leblois, 2008).

The term "DNA barcode" was proposed to suggest that the characteristics of nucleotide sequences can be used to represent a species in much the same way as the 11digit Universal Product Codes in labeling retail products. The central concept of this useful tool is the characteristic of a standard sequence that corresponds to a single

^{*}Corresponding Author E-mail: mabmarinevirology@gmail.com

homologous gene region which can be amplified by a polymerase chain reaction (PCR) with "universal primers", and distinguishes a species from similar ones across a broad range of taxa. (Chih-Hsiang Tzeng et al., 2012). The suggested method can be a powerful tool for identifying larval forms of an organism and even for incomplete specimens on which a morphological diagnosis cannot be performed (Ekrem et al., 2007). For instance, the suggested method was applied to various biological fields and showed a promising ability to differentiate closely related fish species (Sharks: Holmes et al., 2009; Sardine: Quilang et al., 2011; Salmons: Rasmussen et al., 2009; Catfishes: Wong et al., 2011; Scombrids: Paine et al., 2007). It is also used in ornamental fishes and seafood identification, due to its simplicity and accuracy (Steinke et al., 2009; Lowenstein et al., 2010).

Systematic position of the study organism

Phylum: Chordata Class: Actinopterygii Order: Perciformis Family: Carangidae Genus: *Trachinotus* Species: *Trachinotus blochi* (Lacepede, 1801)

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Wet lab methodologies

Sample preservation

The *T.blochi* fish samples were collected from Parangipettai (South east coast of India) fish landing centre in live condition and the tissue samples for DNA extraction was excised from the lateral side and cut into small pieces in order to permit ample fluid penetration during preservation in fresh 95% ethanol. After preservation the tubes were stored under refrigerated condition.

DNA extraction

Salting out procedure was adapted to extract DNA from *T.blochi* tissues. The preserved tissue in ethanol was washed four to five times with sterile distilled water to get clear of the ethanol content. The ethanol free tissues was transferred in to 1.5 ml tube and grounded in micro pestle with 500µl of solution 1 (500mM Tris-HCL, 20mM EDTA and 2% SDS).

After homogenizing the tissues were added with 5μ l of Proteinase K (20mg/ml). The tubes were incubated at 55° C in water bath for 2 hours with occasional mixing by

inverting the tubes. Following incubation the samples were chilled on ice for 10 minutes and about 250μ I of solution 2 (6M NaCI) was added to it and mixed well by inverting the tubes several times. Tubes were then chilled on ice for 5 minutes. Then the tubes were centrifuged at 8000 rpm for 15 minutes and following centrifugation, 500 μ I of clear supernatant was collected in a 1.5 mI tube.

Equal volume of (1ml) of 100% analytical grade ethanol was added to precipitate the DNA. A thin hair like precipitate was observed after addition of ethanol. After 30 minutes the tubes were allowed to spin at 11,000rpm for 5 minutes. The supernatant was removed and partially dried in room temperature. The DNA pellets were washed thrice with 70% cold ethanol. The pellets were suspended in 100 μ l of sterile distilled H₂O.

Quantitation of DNA by Spectrophotometric method

10µl of DNA solution was diluted with 990µl of TE. Mixed well and absorbance at 260nm and 280nm was measured.The absorbance at 260nm can be used to calculate the concentration of DNA as follows:

Calculations

Calculations

OD260 of 1 = 50µg/ml DNA

7Dilution factor =100 50×OD×Dilution factor µg/µl

Concentration of DNA in a given solution = 1×1000

PCR amplification

Primers

The primer set MAB Fw and MAB Rw designed in the conserved region was used for the amplification of the COI region of the test organisms and the primer sequences are;

MAB Fw: 5'-TCAACCAACCACAAAGACATTGGCAC-3' MAB Rw: 5'-TAGACTTCTGGGTGGCCAAAGAATCA-3' A 1.0µl of Sample DNA (approximately 100 ng/µl) was added to PCR Mixture containing 100mM Tris HCl (pH 8.3), 500mM KCl (pH 8.3),2.5µl MgCl₂ (25mM), 2.0µl dNTP's (2.5mM), 1.0µl Primer Forward & Reverse (each of 10pm/µl) and 1µ /µl of Taq Polymerase(Bioserve Make) & the final volume made to 25 µl with nuclease free water. The thermal profile consisted of 35 cycles at 94 °C for 50 s, 54 °C 50 s and 72 °C for 1 min. QIAGEN QIAquickTM kit was used for sequencing reaction. The samples were precipitated and suspended in 40µl of loading solution provided with the kit. Sequencing was done with MegaBace sequencer- Bioserve Hyderabad, India.

Dry lab methodologies

BOLD's identification engine

BOLD (Barcoding of life database) is an online workbench that aids in collection, management, analysis, and use of DNA barcodes. Identification engine is the one of the important components of BOLD database which consists of large volume of barcode sequences for both (intranuclear spacer gene) and animals plants (cytochrome c oxidase subunit gene). **BOLD-IDS** provide a species identification tool that accepts DNA sequences from the barcode region and returns taxonomic assignments to the species level when possible. The BOLD identification system (IDS) accepts sequences from the 5' region of the mitochondrial gene cytochrome oxidase subunit I and returns species-level identification when one is possible. This identification accessible online enaine was through http://www.barcodinglife.org/views/ idrequest. php. The sequences were given in FASTA file format in the guery box and results were obtained similar to that of BLAST search.

Profiling the barcode region of *T.blochi*

The molecular weight of the single stranded barcode DNA was calculated as the sum of the monophosphate forms of each deoxyribonucleotide deducting one water molecule each. One water (18 Da) was added at the end to represent the 3' hydroxyl at the end of the chain and one more hydrogen atom at the 5' phosphate end. Nucleotide composition summaries and plots were obtained by choosing "Nucleotide Composition" form the "Nucleic Acid" submenu of the "Sequence" menu. Bar plots showed the Molar percent of each residue in the sequence. The degenerate nucleotide designations were added to the plot wherever they are encountered.

Barcode protein profiling

DNA to Protein

The online software at www.insilico.ehu.es was used to extract hypothesized amino acid sequences from the COI region of *T.blochi.* This software allowed modeling and modifications of already existing techniques, as well as new theoretical approaches. Standard genetic code translation was used. DNA sequences were fed in to the query box in FASTA format. Minimum size of protein sequence for Open Reading Frames (ORF) is customizable and they were trimmed to MET-to-Stop.

CLUSTAL W

Clustal W is a general purpose global multiple sequence

alignment program for DNA or proteins. It produces biologically meaningful multiple sequence alignments of divergent sequences. It calculates the best match for the selected sequences, and lines them up so that the identities, similarities and differences can be seen. Evolutionary relationships can be seen via viewing Cladograms or Phylograms.

Phylogenetic tree construction using MEGA

Neighborhood joining (NJ) method of phylogenetic tree construction was preferred for accurate establishment of phylogenetic relationship and to trace out the presence of phylogenetic signals in the DNA sequences (Nei *et al.*, 2000). The distance was calculated between every pair of sequences and these were used to construct the phylogenetic tree which guided the final multiple alignment. The scores were calculated from separate pair wise alignments.

MEGA (Molecular Evolutionary Genetic Analysis) version 5

MEGA is an integrated tool for conducting automatic and manual sequence alignment, inferring phylogenetic trees, mining the web base data bases, estimating the rates of molecular evolution, and testing evolutionary hypothesis (Tamura et. al., 2007).

Bootstrapping

One of the most commonly used tests of the reliability of an inferred tree is Felsenstein's (1985) bootstrap test which is evaluated using Efron's (1982) bootstrap resampling technique. If there are *m* sequences, each with *n* nucleotides (or codons or amino acids) a phylogenetic tree can be reconstructed using the same tree building method. From each sequence *n* nucleotides were randomly chosen with replacements, giving rise to *m* rows of *n* columns each. These now constitute a new set of sequences. A tree is then reconstructed with these new sequences using the same tree building method as before. Next the topology of this tree was compared to that of the original tree. Each interior branch of the original tree that was different from the bootstrap tree the sequence it partitions is given a score of 0 all other interior branches was given the value 1 was noted. This procedure of re-sampling the sites and the subsequent tree reconstruction was repeated several hundred times and the percentage of times each interior branch was given a value of 1 was noted. This is known as the bootstrap value.

The multiple aligned sequences from Clustal X were loaded into MEGA through Create New Alignment option in Alignment menu. The sequences were trimmed for their conserved regions and saved in MEGA format for



Figure 1. Quantitation of DNA by electrophoresis



Figure 2. Genomic DNA amplification by PCR

phylogram construction. Bootstrap test for phylogeny was preferred to detect the reliability of each branch in phylogram. As a general rule if the bootstrap value for a given interior branch is 95% or higher than the topology of that branch then the value is considered "correct" (Nei and Kumar, 2000).

RESULTS

Quantitation of DNA by electrophoresis

A thick band was seen above the 300kb band of λ Hind III. This indicates high molecular nature of genomic DNA

(Fig1). In the electropherogram the bands of the size \sim 700bp (for sample MAB01) was observed against 100bp DNA ladder (Fig 2). There was no overlapping of the bands in the case of test organisms and that way the bands were clear.

Top 10 Sequences Producing Significant Alignments from NCBI

The sequences were checked for considerable alignments from NCBI. About 10 sequences showed significant alignments of which the maximum identity ranged from 94% to 99%. The maximum score ranged

Accession	Description	<u>Nax</u> score	<u>Total</u> score	Query coverage	- <u>Value</u>	Max ident
<u>EU148560. 1</u>	Trachinotus blochii voucher WL-M68 cytochrome oxidase subunit I (f	<u>1150</u>	1150	100%	0.0	100%
EU1148558, 1	Trachinotus blochii voucher WL-M66 cytochrome oxidase subunit I (1144	1144	100%	0.0	99%
<u>EU148559, 1</u>	Trachinotus blochii voucher WL-M67 cytochrome oxidase subunit I (1144	1144	100%	0.0	99%
<u>EVI148557.1</u>	Trachinotus blochii voucher WL-M65 cytochrome oxidase subunit I (C	<u>1135</u>	1135	100%	0.0	99%
EFE09479.1	Trachinotus anak voucher BW-A1409 cytochrome oxidase subunit 1	1054	1054	100%	0.0	97%
772,38044,1	Trachinotus ovatus voucher MBCSC:ZC I07370 cytochrome oxidase :	<u>1035</u>	1036	100%	0.0	96%
FJ2 38043.1	Trachinotus ovatus voucher MBCSC:ZC I07372 cytochrome oxidase	1035	1036	100%	0.0	96%
HQ127345.1	Trachinotus ovatus cytochrome oxidase subunit I (COI) gene, compl	<u>1032</u>	1032	100%	0.0	96%
HQ 550988.1	Perciformes sp. BOLD: AAC3391 voucher DBMF-MSS cytochrome oxidi	<u>1032</u>	1032	100%	0.0	96%
<u>HO575795.1</u>	Perciformes sp. BOLD: AAA3091 voucher MXIV0622 cytochrome oxida	978	978	100%	0.0	94%

Sequences producing significant alignments:

Figure 3. Top 10 Sequences Producing Significant Alignments from NCBI



Figure 4. Distance Tree of the Results from NCBI

from 1150 to 978. The query coverage was found to be as 100%. The summary of the results is depicted in Fig 3. The distance tree comparison of the study organism showed similar evolutionary similarity with *Trachinotus blochi* (Fig 4).

BOLD's search

Identification summary (Fig 5) showed the probability of placement (100%) along with taxonomic level and taxon assignment. The distance summary is illustrated in Fig 6. A species level match was made. This identification is solid unless there is a very closely allied congeneric species that has not yet been analyzed. The bolds search showed top 20 specimen similarity with 96.05% to 100%. (Fig 7). The COI species database tree confirmed that the study organism belongs to the order Carangidae which resembled much similarity with *T.blochi* (Fig. 8).

Accession numbers of sequences closely related to the Test organism used in the analysis & their locations

The test organisms were reviewed for close relations to the test organism (JX120605) for which the accession numbers were cross checked from the database. The accession numbers were EU148557, EU148558, EU148559 and EU148560.

Search Request:

Type : COI SPECIES DATABASE

Search Result:

Identification Summary:

Taxonomic Level	Taxon Assignment	Probability of Placement (%)
phylum	Chordata	100
class	Actinopterygii	100
order	Perciformes	100
family	Carangidae	100
genus	Trachinotus	100
species	Trachinotus blochii	100

A species level match has been made. This identification is solid unless there is a very closely allied congeneric species that has not yet been analyzed. Such cases are rare.

Figure 5. Results of the BOLD search



Figure 6. Similarity scores of the top 100 matches

Profiling the barcode region of T.blochi

Nucleotide composition summaries were obtained and shown in Table 1. The table represents the Molar concentration of DNA nucleotides in the COI region of *T.blochi* sample (MAB01) from Parangipettai waters versus closely related organisms. Upon comparison the results showed that the Thymine content was high and similar in all the samples. The least molar concentration was observed in Guanine in all the samples. Cytosine was the second predominant in molar concentration next to thymine which is followed by adenine.

Barcode protein profiling

DNA to Protein

The translation alignment was optional, and amino acids were displayed as a 1-letter amino acids code. Amino

TOP 20 Matches :						
Phylum	Class	Order	Family	Genus	Species	Specimen Similarity (%)
Chordata	Actinopterygii	Perciformes	Carangidae	Trachinotus	blochii	100
Chordata	Actinopterygii	Perciformes	Carangidae	Trachinotus	blochii	100
Chordata	Actinopterygii	Perciformes	Carangidae	Trachinotus	blochii	99.84
Chordata	Actinopterygii	Perciformes	Carangidae	Trachinotus	blochii	99.84
Chordata	Actinopterygii	Perciformes	Carangidae	Trachinotus	blochii	99.84
Chordata	Actinopterygii	Perciformes	Carangidae	Trachinotus	blochii	99.84
Chordata	Actinopterygii	Perciformes	Carangidae	Trachinotus	blochii	99.53
Chordata	Actinopterygii	Perciformes	Carangidae	Trachinotus	blochii	99.53
Chordata	Actinopterygii	Perciformes	Carangidae	Trachinotus	blochii	96.7
Chordata	Actinopterygii	Perciformes	Carangidae	Trachinotus	anak	96.7
Chordata	Actinopterygii	Perciformes	Carangidae	Trachinotus	anak	96.54
Chordata	Actinopterygii	Perciformes	Carangidae	Trachinotus	ovatus	96.07
Chordata	Actinopterygii	Perciformes	Carangidae	Trachinotus	ovatus	96.07
Chordata	Actinopterygii	Perciformes	Carangidae	Trachinotus	ovatus	96.07
Chordata	Actinopterygii	Perciformes	Carangidae	Trachinotus	ovatus	96.07
Chordata	Actinopterygii	Perciformes	Carangidae	Trachinotus	ovatus	96.07
Chordata	Actinopterygii	Perciformes	Carangidae	Trachinotus	cvatus	96.07
Chordata	Actinopterygii	Perciformes	Carangidae	Trachinotus	ovatus	96.07
Chordata	Actinopterygii	Perciformes	Carangidae	Trachinotus	blochii	96.06
Chordata	Actinopterygii	Perciformes	Carangidae	Trachinotus	ovatus	96.05

Figure 7. Results of top 20 specimen similarity with 96.05% to 100%.



Figure 8. COI species database tree

acid composition summaries and plots were obtained by choosing "Amino Acid Composition" from the "Protein" submenu of the "Sequence" menu. Bar plots showed the Molar percent of each residue in the sequence (Fig 9). Amino Acid plots and summaries were similar, though residues other than the standard 20 amino acids were ignored. A helical wheel is a type of plot or visual representation used to illustrate the properties of alpha helices in proteins. The sequence of amino acids that make up a helical region of the protein's secondary structure are plotted in a rotating manner where the angle of rotation between consecutive amino acids is 100°, so that the final representation looks down the helical axis. The plot reveals whether hydrophobic amino acids are concentrated on one side of the helix, usually with polar or hydrophilic amino acids on the other (Fig 10).

CLUSTAL W

The similarities between two or more DNA sequences were compared using multiple sequence alignments. The query sequences were posted on the query box in Clustal W from the tools option of EMBL. The results page displays the similarities between the sequences. The

Accession ID	Base pair length	G+C content (%)	A+T content (%)	Nucleotide Number and Mol%			
				Α	Т	G	С
JX120605	637	45.2%	54.8%	153	196	117	171
				24.0%	30.8%	18.4%	26.8%
EU148560	655	44.9%	55.1%	158	203	117	177
				24.1%	31.1%	17.9%	27.0%
EU148558	655	44.7%	55.3%	158	204	117	176
				24.1%	31.1%	17.9%	26.9%
EU148559	655	44.7%	55.3%	158	204	117	176
				24.1%	31.1%	17.9%	26.9%
EU148557	655	45.0%	55.0%	157	203	118	177
				24.0%	31.0%	18.0%	27.0%

 Table 1. The Molar concentration of DNA nucleotides in the COI region of *T.blochi* sample from Parangipettai waters versus closely related specimens



Figure 9. Graph showing hypothetical barcode profiling of *T. blochi* of Parangipettai waters

similarities in sequences of the study animal with intra species is shown in fig 11.

DISCUSSION

As a part of this initiative we have selected *T.blochi* a commercially exploited species as a nutritive sea food for DNA barcoding to solve the ambiguity in its species level identification. The BOLD database was found efficient in identifying the queried barcode sequence as *T.blochi* as the distance summary was cent percent and the

phylogram constructed by BOLD revealed the same. barcode profiling studies clearly revealed that the barcode region of *T.blochi* from different waters had high cytosine content whereas guanine content was found least. Interesting results were obtained in case of hypothetical barcode protein profiling, as the percentage of leucine was found higher in barcode proteins of *T.blochi* from dissimilar region of Uttar Pradesh 1 – 4 (India - accession no. EU148557 – EUI48560) waters whereas in Parangipettai waters percentage of serine was found at the highest level. However multiple



Figure 10. Protein's secondary structure is plotted in a rotating manner where the angle of rotation between consecutive amino acids is 100°.

	250	260	270	280	290	300
	a ka ki Kushi ku 🚺 🙀	da ala Kala ala 👔	adaréan Panétéé ∦an	a de la la baix 🖡	is an a la sa a la s	المتاجا وأوار
MABOL 241 GAATA	ACATGAGITIT	IGAC TECTE	CICC CICIII	TCTTCICCT	ICTIGCCICC	I CAGG
EU148560 241 GAATAA	ACATGAGTITII	IGAC TECTO	ctcc ctcttt	TCTTCTCCTI	ICTIC CCTCC	CAGG
EU128558 241 GAATA	ACATGAGITTT	IGAC ICCICO	cicc ciciti	TCITCICCI	ICTIGCCICC.	CAGG
EU148559 241 GAATA/	ACATGAGITIT	IGAC TECTE	cicc cicili	TCLLCLCCL	ICTIGCCICC	CAGG
EU148557 241 GAATA/	ACATGAGITTT	IGAC TECTO	CICC CICITI	TELLEICEU	CHICCICC	CAGG
	111			1 1 m 1	1000	1.1
	310	320	330	340	350	360
A second second second second second	isses∮asest≬as	rena 🌆 5 a 5 🐮	interate ∎e tre est i	الجرجيجة الجيجيج	्न सम्बद्ध विकास म	الم الم الم الم
MABOL 301 GGTAG	AAGCGGGTGCC	GGAACCGGT	IGAACAGITIA	ATCCC CCCTT	AGCTG GTAAT	CTICC
EU148560 301 CGTAC.	LACCGCGTGCC	GGAACCGGT	IGAACAGITIA	ACCC CCCTT.	AGCIG GTAAT	CITCC
EU148558 301 CGTAG/	LAGEGGGTGCC	GGAACCGGT	IGAACAGIIIA	ICCCCCIII.	AGCTGGTAAT	CTTGC
EU148559 301 GGTAG	ACCCCCTCC	GGAACCGGT	IGAACAGITIA	ICCC CCITI.	AGCIGGIAAI	CHICC
EUTAESS7 301 GGTAGA	ACCCCCC CCC	GGAACCGGT	IGAACAGITIA	ICCCCCTIII.	AGCIGGIAAI	CHICC
	19.24	inein.	300	100	in the second	14444
	370	380	390	400	410	420
	370	380	390	400	410	420 1
MABOL 361 CCATGO	370	380 II GTTG ATTTA	390 CCAT TITCTC	400 GCTTCACTT	410 AGCTGGAATT	420 CATC
M4801 361 CCATGO	370 CAGGAGCATCT AGGAGCATCT	380 GTTGATTTA GTTGATTTA	390 CCAT TITCTC	400 GCTTCACTT GCTTCACTT/	410 AGCTGGAATT AGCTGGAATT	
M4B01 361 CCATGO EU148560 361 CCATGO EU148558 361 CCATGO	370 AGGAGCATCT AGGAGCATCT AGGAGCATCT	380 GTIGATITAJ GTIGATITAJ GTIGATITAJ	390 ACCAT TITCTC ACCAT TITCTC ACCAT TITCTC	400 GCTT CACTT/ GCTT CACTT/ GCTT CACTT/	410 AGCTGGAATT AGCTGGAATT AGCTGGAATT	420 ICATC CATC ICATC
M4891 361 CCATGO EU148569 361 CCATGO EU148583 361 CCATGO EU148589 361 CCATGO	370 CAGGAGCATCT AGGAGCATCT AGGAGCATCT AGGAGCATCT	380 GTIGATTIA GTIGATTIA GTIGATTIA GTIGATTIA	390 CCAT TITCTC CCAT TITCTC CCAT TITCTC	400 GCTT CACTT/ GCTT CACTT/ GCTT CACTT/ GCTT CACTT/	410 AGCTGGAATT AGCTGGAATT AGCTGGAATT AGCTGGAATT	420 CATC CATC CATC CATC
M4801 361 CCATGO EU148560 361 CCATGO EU148583 361 CCATGO EU148583 361 CCATGO EU148582 361 CCATGO	370 CAGGAGCATCT CAGGAGCATCT CAGGAGCATCT CAGGAGCATCT CAGGAGCATCT	380 GTTGATTTAJ GTTGATTTAJ GTTGATTTAJ GTTGATTTAJ GTTGATTTAJ	399 ACCAT TITCTC ACCAT TITCTC ACCAT TITCTC ACCAT TITCTC ACCAT TITCTC	400 GCTT CACTT/ GCTT CACTT/ GCTT CACTT/ GCTT CACTT/ GCTT CACTT/	410 AGCTGGAATT AGCTGGAATT AGCTGGAATT AGCTGGAATT AGCTGGAATT	420 CATC CATC CATC CATC CATC CATC
M4801 361 CCATGO EU148560 361 CCATGO EU148583 361 CCATGO EU148583 361 CCATGO EU148583 361 CCATGO	370 CAGGAGCATCT CAGGAGCATCT CAGGAGCATCT CAGGAGCATCT CAGGAGCATCT CAGGAGCATCT 430	380 GTIGATITAJ GTIGATITAJ GTIGATITAJ GTIGATITAJ GTIGATITAJ	399 CCAT TITETE CCAT TITETE CCAT TITETE CCAT TITETE CCAT TITETE 459	400 GCTT CACTT GCTT CACTT GCTT CACTT GCTT CACTT GCTT CACTT GCTT CACTT	410 AGCTGGAATT AGCTGGAATT AGCTGGAATT AGCTGGAATT AGCTGGAATT AGCTGGAATT	420 ICATC ICATC ICATC ICATC ICATC ICATC
M4B01 561 CCATGO EU148560 561 CCATGO EU148558 361 CCATGO EU148558 361 CCATGO EU148552 361 CCATGO	370 CAGGAGCATCT AGGAGCATCT AGGAGCATCT AGGAGCATCT AGGAGCATCT 430	350 GTTGATTTAJ GTTGATTTAJ GTTGATTTAJ GTTGATTTAJ GTTGATTTAJ GTTGATTTAJ	399 ACCAT TITCTC ACCAT ITTCTC ACCAT ITTCTC ACCAT ITTCTC ASO	400 GCTT CACTT GCTT CACTT GCTT CACTT GCTT CACTT GCTT CACTT GCTT CACTT 460	410 AGCTGGAATT AGCTGGAATT AGCTGGAATT AGCTGGAATT AGCTGGAATT AGCTGGAATT 470	420 ICATC CATC CATC CATC CATC CATC 480
M4801 361 CCATGO EU145569 361 CCATGO EU145589 361 CCATGO EU145589 361 CCATGO EU145592 361 CCATGO EU146557 361 CCATGO	370 CAGGAGCATCT AGGAGCATCT AGGAGCATCT AGGAGCATCT AGGAGCATCT 430	380 II GTIGATITAN GTIGATITAN GTIGATITAN GTIGATITAN 440 AACITEATCA	390 ACCAT TITETE CCAT TITETE CCAT TITETE CCAT TITETE CCAT TITETE 450	400 GCTT CACTT/ GCTT CACTT/ GCTT CACTT/ GCTT CACTT/ GCTT CACTT/ GCTT CACTT/ 460	410 AGCTGGAATT AGCTGGAATT AGCTGGAATT AGCTGGAATT AGCTGGAATT 470	420 CATC CATC CATC CATC CATC CATC CATC CAT
M4B01 361 CCATGO EU148550 361 CCATGO EU148558 361 CCATGO EU148559 361 CCATGO EU148559 361 CCATGO EU148557 361 CCATGO	370 LAGGAGCATCT AGGAGCATCT AGGAGCATCT AGGAGCATCT AGGAGCATCT 430 LAGGAGCTATT AGGAGCTATT	350 GTIGATITAJ GTIGATITAJ GTIGATITAJ GTIGATITAJ GTIGATITAJ 440 	390 ACCAT TITETE ACCAT TITETE ACCAT TITETE ACCAT TITETE 450 ACCAT AC AGTAA	400 GCTT CACTT/ GCTT CACTT/ GCTT CACTT/ GCTT CACTT/ GCTT CACTT/ GCTT CACTT/ 460 111111111111111111111111111111111111	410 AGETIGGAATT AGETIGGAATT AGETIGGAATT AGETIGGAATT AGETIGGAATT AGETIGGAATT AGETIGGAATT AGETIGGAATT	420 ICATC ICATC ICATC ICATC ICATC ICATC ICATC ICATC ICATC ICATC ICATC ICATC
M4B01 361 CCATGO EU148560 361 CCATGO EU148583 361 CCATGO EU148582 361 CCATGO EU148582 361 CCATGO EU148582 361 CCATGO M4B01 421 AATTCT EU148560 421 AATTCT	370 AGGAGCATCT AGGAGCATCT AGGAGCATCT AGGAGCATCT AGGAGCATCT 430 AGGA GCTATT AGGA GCTATT	350 GTIGATITAJ GTIGATITAJ GTIGATITAJ GTIGATITAJ GTIGATITAJ 440 AACI TCATCJ MACT TCATCJ MACT TCATCJ	399 	400 GCTT CACTT GCTT CACTT GCTT CACTT GCTT CACTT GCTT CACTT GCTT CACTT GCTT CACTT GCTT CACTT AGO TAAC ATAAA	410 AGCTGGAATT AGCTGGAATT AGCTGGAATT AGCTGGAATT AGCTGGAATT ACCTCATGCT ACCTCATGCT ACCTCATGCT	420 CATC CATC CATC CATC CATC CATC CATC CAT
M4B01	370 AGGAGCATCT AGGAGCATCT AGGAGCATCT AGGAGCATCT 430 430 AGGAGCTATT AGGAGCTATT AGGAGCTATT	380 I I GTTGATTTAJ GTTGATTTAJ GTTGATTTAJ GTTGATTTAJ 440 I ACTTCATCJ ACTTCATCJ ACTTCATCJ	390 CCAT TITCTC CCAT TITCTC CCAT TITCTC CCAT TITCTC 450 CCAC AGTAA CCAAC AGTAA CCAAC AGTAA	400 GCTT CACTT/ GCTT CACTT/ GCTT CACTT/ GCTT CACTT/ GCTT CACTT/ 460 460 1TAAC ATAAA TAAC ATAAA TAAC ATAAA	410 AGCTGGAATT AGCTGGAATT AGCTGGAATT AGCTGGAATT AGCTGGAATT AGCTGGAATT ACCTCATGC ACCTCATGC ACCTCATGC	420 ICATC IC
MABOI 361 CCATGO EU145560 361 CCATGO EU145583 421 AATTCT EU145583 421 AATTCT EU145583 421 AATTCT	370 AGGAGCATCT AGGAGCATCT AGGAGCATCT AGGAGCATCT AGGAGCATCT 430 AGGAGCTATT AGGAGCTATT AGGAGCTATT AGGAGCTATT	380 GTIGATITAJ GTIGATITAJ GTIGATITAJ GTIGATITAJ GTIGATITAJ A40 ACTICATCJ AACTICATCJ AACTICATCJ AACTICATCJ	390 	400 GCTT CACTT/ GCTT CACTT/ GCTT CACTT/ GCTT CACTT/ GCTT CACTT/ GCTT CACTT/ GCTT CACTT/ 460 TTAACATAAA TAACATAAA TAACATAAA	410 AGCTGGAATT AGCTGGAATT AGCTGGAATT AGCTGGAATT AGCTGGAATT ACCTCATGCT ACCTCATGCT ACCTCATGCT ACCTCATGCT	420 CATC CATC CATC CATC CATC CATC CATC GTTC GT

Figure 11. Clustal W alignment for the test organism versus closely related specimens

Figure 11.Continue



Figure 12. Evolutionary relationships of taxa by UPGMA Method

sampling approaches had to be adopted to justify the statement. In the phylogram drawn with the aid of Bioedit software tool with Neighbor-joining methodology, two clades were evident one with the out group species other clade consisting of *T.blochi* from international waters (Fig 12). Though phylogeographical signals were witnessed, interestingly two of Uttar Pradesh 2 and 3 barcode sequences clustered with the Parangipettai sequences, where as the Uttar Pradesh 1sequences were kept out separately in the Uttar Pradesh 4 sequence clade of the constructed phylogram.

The two main ambitions of DNA barcoding are to (i) assign unknown specimens to species and (ii) enhance the discovery of new species and facilitate identification, particularly in cryptic, microscopic and other organisms with complex or inaccessible morphology (Hebert et al., 2003). This study clearly revealed that COI could be a

barcode sequence distinguishing *T.blochi* to its species level both through the phylogram and by search result of barcode of life database. The profiling study on the barcode regions of *T.blochi* revealed that barcode region was rich in cytosine and least in guanine content. The GC content of *T.blochi* was found to be about 42%. Both phylography and phylogeographic signals were evident from the phylogram constructed with *T.blochi* as the same group. Hence this sort of study can affirm that COI could be a potential barcode gene for accurate species level identification of *T.blochi*.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank Prof.T.Balasubramanian, Dean & Director, Faculty of Marine Sciences, Annamalai University for his

moral support. We also thank DST and UGC for their constant support and encouragement for our research.

REFERENCES

- Chih-Hsiang T, Tai-Sheng C (2012). DNA barcode-based identification of commercially caught cutlass fishes (Family: Trichiuridae) with a phylogenetic assessment. Fish Res.(127):176-181.
- Efron B (1982). The Jackknife, the Bootstrap, and Other Resampling Plans. Philadelphia: SIAM.
- Ekrem T, Willassen E, Stur E (2007). A comprehensive DNA sequence library is essential for identification with DNA barcodes. Mol. Phyl. Evol. (43): 530–542.
- Eschmeyer WN, Ferraris CJ, Hoang D, Long DJ (1998). Species of fishes in Catalog of Fishes. San Francisco: California Academy of Sciences Part I. ed. 25-1820.
- Felsenstein (1985). Confidence limits on phylogenies with a molecular clock. Syst Zool. (34): 152-161.
- Hebert PDN, Cywinska BA, Ball SL, deWaard JR (2003). Biological identifications through DNA barcodes. Proc. R. Soc. Lon. Ser. B. (270):313-321.
- Hebert PDN, Stoeckle MY, Zemlak TS, Francis CM (2004). Identification of birds through DNA barcodes. PLoS Bio.2 (10): 1657-1663.
- Hebert PDN, Penton EH, Burns JM, Janzen DH, Hallwachs W (2004). Ten species in one: DNA barcoding reveals cryptic species in the neotropical skipper butterfly *Astraptes fulgerator*. Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA. (101): 14812–14817.
- Holmes BH, Steinke D, Ward RD (2009). Identification of shark and ray fins using DNA barcoding. Fish Res. (95): 280–288.
- Hubert N, Hanner R, Holm E, Mandrak NE, Taylor E, Burridge M (2008). Identifying Canadian freshwater fishes through DNA barcodes. PLoS One. 3(6): 2490.
- Jun-Bin Zhang, Robert Hanner (2011). DNA barcoding is a useful tool for the identification of marine fishes from Japan. Biochem. Syst. Ecol. (39):31–42.
- Lievens S, Goormachtig S, Holsters M (2001). A critical evaluation of differential display as a tool to identify genes involved in legume modulation: looking back and looking forward. Nucl. Acid. Res.(29):3459–3468.
- Lise Frezal, Raphael Leblois (2008). Four years of DNA barcoding: Current advances and prospects. Inf. Gen. Evol. (8):727–736.
- Lowenstein JH, Burger J, Jeitner CW (2010). DNA barcodes reveal species-specific mercury levels in tuna sushi that pose a health risk to consumers. Biol Let. 6: 692–695.
- Maiden MCJ, Malorny B, Achtman M (1996). A global gene pool in the neisseriae. Mol Microbiol. 21: 1297- 1298.

- Miller SE (2007). DNA barcoding and the renaissance of taxonomy. Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. (104): 4775–4776.
- Nei M, Kumar S (2000). Molecular evolution and phylogenetics. Oxford University Press, New York.
- Nelson JS (2006). Fishes of the World. 4th ed. Wiley, New York, 601 pp.
- Paine MA, McDowell JR, Graves JE (2007). Specific identification of western Atlantic Ocean scombrids using mitochondrial DNA cytochrome c oxidase subunit I (COI) gene region sequences. Bul.Mar.Sci. (80): 353–367.
- Quilang JP, Santos BS, Ong PS, Basiao ZU, Fontanilla IKC, Cao EP (2011.) DNA Barcoding of the Philippine Endemic Freshwater Sardine *Sardinella tawilis* (Clupeiformes: Clupeidae) and Its Marine Relatives. Phil. Agri. Scientist. (94): 248-257.
- Rasmussen RS, Morrissey MT, Hebert PDN (2009). DNA barcoding of commercially important salmon and trout species Oncorhynchus and Salmo from North America. J. Agri.Food.Chem. (57): 8379–8385.
- Steinke D, Hanner R, Hebert PDN (2009). Rapid high quality imaging of fishes using a flat bed scanner. Ichthyol Res. (56):210-211.
- Sugita T, Nishikawa A, Shinoda T (1998). Identification of trichosporon asahii by PCR based on sequences of the internal transcribed spacer regions. J. Clin. Microbiol. 2742–2744.
- Tamura K, Dudley J, Nei M, Kumar S (2007). MEGA4: Molecular Evolutionary Genetics Analysis (MEGA) software version 4.0. Mol. Biol. Evol. (24):1596-1599.
- Teletchea F, Bernillon J, Duffraisse M, Laudet V, Hänni C (2008). Molecular identification of vertebrate species by oligonucleotide microarray in food and forensic samples. J. Appl. Ecol. (45):967–975
- Walton C, Sharpe RG, Pritchard SJ, Thelwell NJ, Butlin RK (1999). Molecular identification of mosquito species. Biol. J. Linn. Soc. (68): 241-256.
- Ward RD, Zemlak TS, Innes BH, Last PR (2005). DNA barcoding Australia's fish species. Phil. Trans. R. Soc. Series B. 360 (1462): 1847-1857.
- Wiens JJ, Servedio MR (2000). Species delimitation in systematics: Inferring diagnostic differences between species. Proc. R. Soc. Lond. Ser. B. (267):631–636.
- Wilson OE (2004). The encyclopedia of life. Trends Ecol. Evol. 18 (2): 77-80.
- Wirth T, Falush D, Lan R, Colles F, Mensa P, Wieler LH, Karch H et al., (2006). Sex and virulence in Escherichia coli: an evolutionary perspective. Mol. Microbiol. 60 (5): 1136–1151.
- Wong LL, Peatman E, Lu J, Kucuktas H, He S (2011). DNA Barcoding of Catfish: Species Authentication and Phylogenetic Assessment. PLoS One 6(3): e17812.
- Zhou J, Davey ME, Figueras JB, Rivkina E, Gilichinsky D, Tiedje JM (1997). Phylogenetic diversity of a bacterial community determined from Siberian tundra soil DNA. Microbiology. (143): 3913–3919.