



Journal of Research in International Business and Management (ISSN: 2251-0028)
Vol. 10(4) pp. 01-02, August, 2023
Available online @ <https://www.interestjournals.org/research-international-business-management.html>
DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.14303//jr.ibm.2023.026>
Copyright ©2023 International Research Journals

Mini Review

A cross-cultural analysis of negotiation styles in international business

Winqan Chai*

Department of Economics and Management, Suzhou Polytechnic Institute of Agriculture, Suzhou, China

E-mail: winqan@chai.edu.cn

Abstract

Negotiation is an integral part of international business, and understanding cross-cultural differences in negotiation styles is crucial to achieving successful outcomes. This article presents a cross-cultural analysis of negotiation styles in international business, highlighting key differences and their implications. Drawing on research and examples from various cultures, this article provides practical recommendations for negotiators in international business contexts.

Keywords: Cross-cultural analysis, International business, Practical recommendations.

INTRODUCTION

Negotiation is a critical component of international business. In today's globalized world, businesses operate in a diverse and complex cultural environment, and negotiating effectively across cultures can be challenging. Cultural differences in communication styles, attitudes towards time, and hierarchical structures can significantly impact negotiation strategies and outcomes. Therefore, it is essential for negotiators to understand and adapt to cultural differences to achieve mutually beneficial outcomes (Cicellin et al., 2019).

Cross-cultural analysis of negotiation styles

Negotiation styles can be broadly categorized into two types: distributive and integrative. Distributive negotiation is a win-lose approach, where the negotiators compete for a limited resource, and each tries to maximize their share. Integrative negotiation, on the other hand, is a win-win approach, where the negotiators seek to expand the pie by creating value for all parties involved. Cultural differences can significantly influence negotiation styles. For instance, negotiators from individualistic cultures tend to adopt a distributive negotiation style, while those from collectivistic cultures prefer integrative negotiation. Individualistic cultures, such as the United States, value independence and competition, which translate into a

more confrontational and competitive negotiation style. In contrast, collectivistic cultures, such as Japan, emphasize cooperation and harmony, which translate into a more collaborative and relationship-based negotiation style (Damodharan & Ravichandran, 2019).

Another cultural factor that influences negotiation styles is communication style. Direct communication is valued in Western cultures, such as the United States and Germany, where people tend to be explicit and straightforward in expressing their views. In contrast, indirect communication is preferred in Asian cultures, such as Japan and China, where people tend to be more implicit and use nonverbal cues to convey their message. This difference in communication style can lead to misunderstandings and misinterpretations during negotiations, which can ultimately impact the negotiation outcomes.

Cultural attitudes towards time can also impact negotiation styles. In cultures that value punctuality, such as Germany and Switzerland, negotiators tend to be more time-conscious and adhere to strict timelines. In contrast, in cultures that prioritize relationships, such as the Middle East and Latin America, negotiators may prioritize building relationships over sticking to strict timelines. This can lead to delays and frustrations during negotiations, particularly for negotiators from time-conscious cultures. Another

Received: 20-July-2023, Manuscript No. JRIBM-23-109202; **Editor assigned:** 24-July-2023, PreQC No. JRIBM-23-109202(PQ); **Reviewed:** 05-Aug-2023, QC No. JRIBM-23-109202; **Revised:** 14-Aug-2023, Manuscript No. JRIBM-23-109202(R); **Published:** 18-Aug-2023

Citation: Chai W (2023). A cross-cultural analysis of negotiation styles in international business. JRIBM. 10: 026.

factor that can influence negotiation styles is the level of hierarchy in a culture. In hierarchical cultures, such as Japan and China, negotiators tend to defer to authority figures and may be less likely to challenge their decisions. In contrast, in egalitarian cultures, such as the United States and Sweden, negotiators tend to have a flatter organizational structure, where everyone's opinion is valued, and decisions are made through consensus-building.

Implications for international business negotiators

Given the differences in negotiation styles across cultures, international business negotiators need to be aware of these differences and adapt their strategies accordingly. Here are some practical recommendations for negotiators: Learn about the culture: Before negotiating with people from a different culture, take the time to learn about their cultural norms, values, and communication styles. This will help you understand their negotiation style and adapt your strategy accordingly. Build relationships: In collectivistic cultures, building relationships is crucial to the negotiation process. Therefore, take the time to build rapport with your counterparts, and show interest in their culture and traditions. Be patient: Negotiations in some cultures may take longer than in others. Therefore, be patient and allow the negotiation process to unfold at its own pace.

CONCLUSION

IHRM is a critical element for the success of MNCs

operating in multiple countries. Managing human resources internationally requires a more comprehensive understanding of cultural differences, language barriers, legal and regulatory frameworks, and compensation and benefits structures. The challenges faced by creators pronounce that they have no known contending monetary interests or individual connections that might have seemed to impact the work revealed in this paper.

REFERENCES

1. Cicellin M, Scuotto A, Canonico P (2019). Understanding the low cost business model in healthcare service provision: A comparative case study in Italy. *Social Sci Med.* 240: 112572.
2. Damodharan P, Ravichandran CS (2019). Applicability Evaluation of Web Mining in Healthcare E-Commerce towards Business Success and a derived Cournot Model. *J Medical Systems.* 43: 1-0.
3. McDonald AM, Treweek S, Shakur H (2011). Using a business model approach and marketing techniques for recruitment to clinical trials. *Trials.* 12: 1-2.
4. Pronk NP, Baase C, May J (2017). Exploration into the business priorities related to corporate engagement in community health improvement partnerships. *J Occupational Environ Med.* 59: 1041-6.
5. Zarrabi B, Burce KK, Seal SM (2017). Business education for plastic surgeons: a systematic review, development, and implementation of a business principles curriculum in a residency program. *Plast Reconstr.* 139: 1263-71.