Public health implication of Listeria species and other bacteria isolates of abattoir effluent in Lagos, Nigeria
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Abstract

Untreated abattoir effluent constitutes a reservoir for the spread of intestinal pathogens and Listeria species (though rarely considered), is one of such organisms. This study was therefore conducted to determine the status of these bacteria and others in abattoir effluent, in Lagos, Nigeria. Thirty samples of abattoir effluent were collected over a period of 6 weeks at the government central abattoir in Lagos, Nigeria. Each sample was serially diluted and pour-plated on Nutrient Agar, MacConkey Agar and Listeria Selective Agar. Mesophilic aerobic counts were enumerated. Isolated bacterial colonies were identified by standard methods and antimicrobial susceptibility test conducted using the disk diffusion technique. Heavy loads of Listeria species, Escherichia coli, Klebsiella, sp., Enterococcus faecalis, and Pseudomonas, aeruginosa were isolated from all the samples. The antibiotic susceptibility pattern of these bacterial organisms revealed marked resistance to most of the antimicrobial agents tested. With the exception of Pseudomonas, there was no statistically significant difference between the antimicrobial resistance rate of Listeria and other bacteria isolates (P >0.05). The public health significance of these findings, particularly the abattoir effluent bacteria potential capability of transferring disease and antibiotic resistance to man, as well as the challenges posed to disease treatment was highlighted.
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INTRODUCTION

Abattoir effluents are waste water derived from animal slaughtering activities in abattoirs, consisting mainly of intestinal contents, blood and water. Abattoir effluent like other types of discharged sewage, eventually enter natural bodies of water like ground water, streams, rivers, lakes and oceans as a result of natural drainage pattern and sequence (Madigan et al., 1997; Pelczar et al., 2002). These water bodies are used by human beings for drinking, household, industrial, agricultural (irrigation), swimming and other recreational purposes.

Drinking water and recreational water have been implicated in the transmission of pathogens, and it was opined that the source of contamination could be either sewage or infected animals (Muniesa et al., 2006; Sehgal et al., 2008).

A number of bacteria species, including coliforms and Listeria can be present in the Intestines of some humans and animals, including birds without causing infection (Ramaswany et al., 2007). The Genus Listeria consists, mainly, of 8 species, namely Listeria monocytogenes, Listeria ivanovii, Listeria seeligeri, Listeria innocua, Listeria welshimeri, Listeria grayi, Listeria marthii and Listeria rocourtiae (Liu 2006; den Bakker et al., 2010). Out of these eight species of Listeria, only Listeria monocytogenes (pathogenic to human and animals) and Listeria ivanovii (pathogenic to animals) are regarded as pathogens, while all other species are generally regarded as non-pathogenic (Law and Donachie 1997; Liu 2006). However, there have been, of recent, reported cases of human infection with Listeria ivanovii, Listeria seeligeri, Listeria innocua and Listeria welshimeri (Rocout et al., 1986; Andre and Genicot 1987; Allenberger 2002; Perrin et al., 2003). Listeria monocytogenes is an intracellular, food – borne and zoonotic pathogen. It is the aetiological agent of the
disease, listeriosis (Portnoy et al., 2002; Chen et al., 2007; Rebagliati et al., 2009). Listeriosis is a regularly reported disease in Europe and North America but only a few sporadic cases have been reported in Africa and other developing countries where the food industry is not very developed (Ennaji et al., 2008).

There are invasive and non-invasive forms of infection with Listeria monocytogenes (François et al., 2001; Vazquez-Boland et al., 2001). The non-invasive form which is characterized by gastroenteritis in the absence of more serious symptoms like septicemia, meningitis, abortion etc, following food borne infection with Listeria monocytogenes, has only recently been definitively determined by Dalton et al., 1997 (Dalton et al., 1997; Ramaswamy et al., 2000, François et al., 2001). It has been suggested that the occurrence of non-invasive listeriosis may be underestimated as Listeria monocytogenes is not among the pathogens routinely investigated in outbreaks of gastro-intestinal disease (François et al., 2001; Ramaswamy et al., 2007).

The disposal of abattoir effluent which feeds natural bodies of water and the monitoring of the bacterial status of such effluent are of public health significance (Madigan et al., 1997; Black et al., 1998), especially in developing countries like Nigeria, where abattoir effluent are discharged untreated. Abattoir effluent, like other types of industrial sewage are supposed to undergo various stages of treatment to eliminate or remove bacterial content before being discharged into drainage to enter the natural bodies of water (Hug et al., 2005; Nestar et al., 1998).

Furthermore, the presence of various types of bacteria species in abattoir effluent makes it a conducive environment for the transmission of antimicrobial resistance amongst them (Mach and Grimes, 1982). Antimicrobial resistance has generally undergone near exponential increase in the past decades (Safdar and Armstrong, 2003). Prophylactic use of common broad spectrum antibiotics as well as empirical preemptive therapy in high risk settings, or indiscriminate usage, particularly in developing nations, has further accentuated this trend, especially in patients with underlying malignancy (Safdar and Armstrong, 2003; Bondarinzadeh, 2007).

Listeria organisms are generally known to be antibiotic susceptible in developed nations (Boisivon et al., 1990). The situation in Nigeria and other African countries is not well known, but bacteria generally, are known to be resistant to commonly used antibiotics like ampicillin, chloramphenicol, tetracycline, septrin etc (Akano et al., 2009).

Moreover, studies have shown that plasmids carrying antibiotic resistant genes can successfully transfer genetic codes from Enterococcus faecalis to Listeria monocytogenes (Poyart –Salmeron et al., 1990). This observation has raised serious concerns regarding possible emergence of antibiotic resistance and the choice of optimal initial therapy for severe listeric infection especially in compromised individuals (Safdar and Armstrong, 2003).

There is the need to draw attention of governments, managers of abattoir operation and the public to the possible health consequences of the disposal of untreated abattoir effluent. This study was therefore carried out to identify the bacterial organisms of public health significance associated with abattoir effluent from Lagos, Nigeria. Furthermore, the study will determine the response of the organisms to antimicrobial agents, as a mean of contributing to the state of knowledge on the treatment of diseases associated with these organisms.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Sample**

Thirty samples of abattoir effluents were collected into sterile 100ml bottle aseptically. The effluent were collected from the drainage point immediately after the slaughter slab where the solid part (sludge) of the sewage was separated with the use of ‘wire mesh’ to allow the free flow of effluent. Thirty samples of 50ml each of effluent were collected, one sample per day, consecutively for 5 days per week, with the total collection spanning a total of 6 weeks. Each sample was transported to the laboratory and analyzed immediately.

The sampling was carried out at the government central ‘Abattoir and Lairrage’ in Lagos, Nigeria. At this abattoir about 1,250 heads of cattle are slaughtered daily for consumption in Lagos, Nigeria. This represents about 65% of the total heads of cattle (about 1,923) in all government approved abattoirs in Lagos State, Nigeria.

**Isolation and Identification of Organisms**

Serial decimal dilution (10⁻¹, 10⁻²…10⁻⁸) of each sample of well mixed effluent was prepared in a conical flask using sterile distilled water as diluents. A flask containing only sterile distilled water (no effluent) was included as control.

One milliliter of each dilution and the control were poured plated with 20ml melted (and cooled to 45°C). Nutrient agar (Biotec, U.K.), MacConkey agar (Biotec, U.K.) and Listeria Selective Agar (Oxoid, England) on separate petri dishes. The poured plates were allowed to set (solidify), inverted and incubated at 37°C for 18-24 Hrs (Pelczar et al., 2002). Mesophilic aerobic counts were enumerated on Nutrient agar, coliform counts were determined on MacConkey agar and Listeria counts were determined on Listeria Selective Agar. Plates containing colonies ranging from 30-150 were selected for counting and recording. Both Staphylococcus and Bacillus (diptheroids) counts were not determined, although they
were infrequently isolated on MacConkey agar. Suspected colonies of Bacillus were tested for presence of spores after Gram-staining, while those of suspected *Staphylococcus* were subjected to catalase and coagulase tests after the Gram’s staining result was confirmed. Typical representative colonies of isolates were sub-cultured on fresh MacConkey agar plates and black colonies of *Listeria* species on Listeria Agar were sub-cultured on Nutrient Agar for purity. Their presumptive identity were verified by microscopy, Gram staining and biochemical tests. The identification of the isolates was carried out in accordance with standard methods of identification of bacteria of medical importance (Cowan, 1993).

**Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing**

The identified isolates were subjected to antimicrobial susceptibility test, using the disc diffusion technique as described by Bauer et al (1966). Antimicrobial multidiscs (Antec Diagnostics, U.K.) and single discs containing the following antibiotics were applied: Amoxicillin (5ug); Tetracycline (25ug); Chloramphenicol (10ug); Streptomycin (25ug); Seprtin (25ug); Gentamycin (10ug); Ofloxacin (10ug); Augmentin (30ug); Ciprofloxacin (10ug). The zones of inhibition observed were compared with those of reference organism, *Escherichia coli* NCTC, 10418 to determine susceptibility or resistance to antibiotics tested.

**Antimicrobial Susceptibility Test Data Analysis**

Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) test was used to detect differences in the susceptibility/resistance rate of the bacteria species isolates to antimicrobial agents. A difference at 5% level was considered to be statistically significant.

**RESULTS**

Five major bacteria were isolated, identified and enumerated in all the 30 samples of abattoir effluent examined in this study. They included *Listeria* sp., *Escherichia coli*, *Klebsiella sp.*, *Enterococcus faecalis* and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. The mean coliform count on MacConkey agar was $2.8 \times 10^6$ cfu/ml while the aerobic mesophilic count on Nutrient agar was $4.1 \times 10^7$ cfu/ml. The *Listeria* sp. count on Listeria Agar was 733 cfu/ml. *Staphylococcus* species and diphtheroids were also isolated less frequently during the study (Table 1).

**Antimicrobial Susceptibility Pattern**

All the bacterial isolates showed marked resistance to the antimicrobial agents tested, in varying degrees (Table 2). *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* isolates were the most resistant of all the bacterial species. They were resistant to all the antimicrobial agents with the exception of ofloxacin (Table 2). Generally, the bacterial isolates showed more susceptibility to the third generation antibiotics (i.e. ciprofloxacin, ofloxacin) than to the first generation group (i.e. chloramphenicol, tetracycline and seprtin). With the exception of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, there was no statistically significant difference between the antimicrobial resistance rate of *Listeria* species and other bacteria isolates (P >0.05).

**DISCUSSION**

The public health significance and concern over the sources and nature of water for consumption, food preparation, irrigation and recreation in any community is worldwide. This is due to the fact that water is known to be most potent vehicle of transmission of infectious diseases (Nester et al., 1998; Muniesa et al., 2006). Abattoir effluents, when discharged, find their way into the natural drainage pattern and sequence. (Madigan et al., 1997; Pelczar et al., 2002) and could therefore be a source of contamination of drinking or recreational water (Muniesa et al., 2006).

In this study, abattoir effluent was found to contain several millions of *Enterococcus faecalis*, *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, *Escherichia coli*, *Klebsiella sp.*, *Listeria* species etc. The presence of these enteric bacteria and other bacterial species, apart from being potentially pathogenic or opportunistically pathogenic, also indicate the possible presence of pathogenic enteric organisms such as *Salmonella sp.*, *Campylobacter jejuni* and *Listeria monocytogenes* (Black et al., 1998).

The presence of *Listeria* sp. in abattoir effluent is particularly significant because the non-invasive form of listeriosis which is characterized only by gastroenteritis, in the absence septicemia; meningitis etc. has only been recently definitively determined by Dalton et al., 1997. Although the *Listeria* isolates were not identified to species level, nor their virulence determined within the scope of this study, their mere presence in abattoir effluent is of public health significance as many *Listeria* species apart from *Listeria monocytogenes*, which are hitherto regarded as non-pathogenic to human, such as *L. ivanovii*, *L. seeligeri*, *L. innocua* and *L. welchimeri* have been incriminated in human infections (Rocourt et al., 1986; Andre and Genicot, 1987). Furthermore, it is
Table 1. Bacterial isolates and counts of abattoir effluents

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bacterial Type</th>
<th>Culture Media</th>
<th>Total Count (cfu/ml) for 30 samples</th>
<th>Mean Count (cfu/ml)</th>
<th>Isolate Identify</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Coliforms</td>
<td>MacConkey Agar</td>
<td>8.3x10^6</td>
<td>2.8x10^5</td>
<td>• Escherichia coli</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Klebsiella sp.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Enterococcus faecalis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Pseudomonas aeruginosa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Staphylococcus spp.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Diphtheroids</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aerobic Mesophillic</td>
<td>Nutrient Agar</td>
<td>1.2x10^7</td>
<td>4.1x10^7</td>
<td>Listeria species</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count (AMC)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Listeria count</td>
<td>Listeria Selective</td>
<td>2.3x10^4</td>
<td>733</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Antibiotic susceptibility pattern of bacterial isolates of abattoir effluent; Antibiotic Sensitivity Profile (expressed as percentage of total number of isolates tested)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ISOLATES (NUMBER)</th>
<th>AMX</th>
<th>TET</th>
<th>CHL</th>
<th>STR</th>
<th>SEP</th>
<th>GEN</th>
<th>OFL</th>
<th>AUG</th>
<th>CIP</th>
<th>ERY</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Escherichia coli</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Klebsiella sp. (60)</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pseudomonas aeruginosa (60)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enterococcus faecalis (60)</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Listeria sp</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>79</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TET = Tetracycline; CHL = Chloramphenicol; STR = Streptomycin; SEP = Seprin OFL = Ofloxacin; AUG = Augmentin; CIP = Ciprofloxacin; AMX = Amoxicillin; GEN = Gentamycin; ND = Not determined

believed that the occurrence of non-invasive listeriosis is underestimated because L. monocytogenes is not among the pathogens routinely investigated in the outbreaks of gastro-intestinal diseases (Franciosa et al., 2001; Ramaswany et al., 2007).

In the developed nations of the world, there are government agencies with relevant laws and standards guiding the treatment and disposal of abattoir effluents in order to protect the health of the people. These laws make the treatment of abattoir effluents before discharge mandatory for operators of the abattoir (FEPA, 1991). In Nigeria, there are similar agencies with relevant laws and standards guiding the treatment and discharge of effluent (FEPA, 1991; LASEPA, 1996).

However, while these laws and standard are enforced and adhered to in the developed nations like United States of America and United Kingdom, there is no such enforcement and adherence in Nigeria.

Abattoir effluents are discharged untreated into the drainage system, with the consequent health hazard to the populace.

A number of studies conducted outside Nigeria, have linked outbreak of some strains of pathogenic Escherichia coli and Listeria monocytogenes to animal source. However there are strong indications that such contaminations are from water sources (Olasupo et al., 2002b). These water sources, especially in rural areas could have been rivers, streams or groundwater into which discharged, untreated effluent has gained access to.

This study in addition to determining the identity and bacterial load (count) of abattoir effluent isolates, have also determined their antibiotic susceptibility pattern. Most of the isolates were found to be resistant to the commonly used antimicrobial agents like tetracycline, Chloramphenicol, seprin and amoxicillin in varying degrees. It is also significant to know that there is no statistically significant difference between the resistant rate of Listeria species and other bacteria isolates of abattoir effluent in this study (P>0.05). This is at variance with previous findings from developed countries where Listeria species are susceptible to most commonly used antibiotics(Boisivon et al.,1990). It is however not surprising giving the rate of abuse of antibiotics which promotes the acquisition of resistance genes by bacteria in developing nations like Nigeria(Akano et al., 2009). This finding is also in consonance with findings in previous studies conducted where high resistance level was observed among food and clinical/human – bacterial isolates (Ebigwei and Olukoya, 1991; Olasupo et al., 2002a). This high resistance level among food bacterial...
isolates have been partly attributed to possible transfer of resistance trait from indigenous microflora associated with the sources of the raw materials used in the preparation of these foods (Olasupo et al., 2002b). Since water is one of such materials used, contamination from abattoir effluent isolates is quite a possibility.

In this study as well as earlier studies conducted in Nigeria, there was no definite investigation to confirm the linkage of outbreaks of diseases and antibiotic resistance to abattoir effluent. There is therefore the need to conduct further studies to confirm this linkage, as a means of controlling the transfer and spread of infectious diseases and antibiotic resistance through abattoir effluent. There is also the need for more focus on Listeria species as a possible cause of human gastroenteritis.

In conclusion, this is the first known study on the bacterial status of abattoir effluent with particular reference to Listeria in Nigeria, and it has drawn attention to the importance of enforcing relevant laws on the treatment of abattoir effluent before discharge. This will not only prevent the transmission of pathogenic organisms to the public but also control the transfer and spread of antibiotic resistance through abattoir effluent, in view of the generally high resistance of the bacterial isolates of abattoir effluent to antimicrobial agents in this study. Bacterial resistance to antimicrobial agents has grave consequences and compounds the problem of disease treatment.
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